October 31, 2013

Mr. Rick D. Weyen, Vice President, Logistics
Tesoro High Plains Pipeline Company LLC
19100 Ridgewood Parkway
San Antonio, Texas 78259

CPF 3-2013-5032S

Dear Mr. Weyen:

Enclosed is a Notice of Proposed Safety Order (Notice) issued in the above-referenced case. The Notice proposes that you take certain measures with respect to the Tesoro High Plains Pipeline Company, LLC’s system to ensure pipeline safety. Options for responding are set forth in this Notice. Your receipt of the Notice constitutes service of this document under 49 C.F.R. §190.5.

We look forward to a successful resolution to ensure pipeline safety. Please direct any questions on this matter to me at 816-329-3800.

Sincerely,

Linda Daugherty
Director, Central Region
Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

Enclosure: Notice of Proposed Safety Order
Copy of 49CFR§190.239

cc: Mr. Greg Henderson, Vice President, Mid-Continent Operations
NOTICE OF PROPOSED SAFETY ORDER

Background and Purpose

Pursuant to Chapter 601 of title 49, United States Code, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) has initiated an investigation of the safety of Tesoro High Plains Pipeline Company, LLC’s (THPP) pipeline system resulting from an accident that was reported to the National Response Center (NRC) on September 30, 2013. In addition to the examination of the failed pipeline, PHMSA’s investigation included an on-site review of the rerouted pipeline and a review of the control center located in San Antonio, Texas.

As a result of the investigation, it appears that a condition or conditions exist associated with your pipeline facilities that pose a pipeline integrity risk to public safety, property or the environment. Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. § 60117(l), PHMSA issues this Notice of Proposed Safety Order, notifying you of the preliminary findings of the investigation, and proposing that you take measures to ensure the public, property, and the environment are protected from the potential risk(s).

Preliminary Findings

- THPP owns and operates the Tesoro High Plains System (THPP System), which consists of approximately 700 miles of mainline and gathering pipelines, both regulated and unregulated. The system includes different segments with the mainline from Stampede to Mandan, North Dakota, and a western gathering loop which extends into Montana. This Proposed Safety Order applies to the regulated portions of the THPP System.
• THPP's Tioga to Black Slough 35 mile line segment (hereinafter referred to as the "Line") is part of an interstate crude oil system that transports crude oil from local crude production in North Dakota.

• On September 29, 2013, at approximately 10:35 p.m. local time, a failure was discovered on the Line eight miles north of Tioga, North Dakota (reported specific GPS location is Latitude N48 31.45 and Longitude W102 51.42). A farmer in the area, while harvesting his crops, noticed oil seeping from the ground. It is a remote location with no waterways or tributaries nearby.

• The accident was reported to the NRC on September 30, 2013, at 1:16 a.m. (NRC Report Number 1061615) EDT. PHMSA initiated an investigation of the accident, which involved an on-site investigation at the failure location and a review of the control room, in San Antonio, Texas.

• The affected segment of the pipeline is a six inch pipeline that was installed in 1993. It was manufactured by IPSCO with a 0.219 wall, ERW, X42, and has Pri-tec coating. The failed pipe joint has since been removed and sent for mechanical and metallurgical testing. A preliminary report has been provided to PHMSA.

• THPP performed an inline inspection (ILI) of the Line on September 10-11, 2013. The ILI identified a metal loss anomaly 76% deep, 0.590" long by 0.579" wide, which corresponds with the leak site. The anomaly was reported to Tesoro subsequent to discovery of the leak.

• The Line was carrying crude oil from the Bakken field area at the time of the leak. THPP identified that the Maximum Operating Pressure (MOP) of the Line was 1390 psig at the location of the failure. The operating pressure at the failure location was approximately 350 psig at the time of the reported release.

• A leak clamp was installed on September 30, 2013, once the leak source was discovered. Based on the impacted area of the release, the estimated spill volume is about 20,000 barrels of crude oil as reported by THPP in NRC Report Number 1062440 on October 8, 2013.

Proposed Issuance of Safety Order

Section 60117(1) of Title 49, United States Code, provides for the issuance of a safety order, after reasonable notice and the opportunity for a hearing, requiring corrective measures, which may include physical inspection, testing, repair, or other action, as appropriate. The basis for making the determination that a pipeline facility has a condition or conditions that pose a pipeline integrity risk to public safety, property, or the environment is set forth both in the above-referenced statute and 49 C.F.R. § 190.239, a copy of which is enclosed.

After evaluating the foregoing preliminary findings of fact and considering the age of the pipe involved, the manufacturer, the hazardous nature of the product transported and the pressure
required for transporting such product, the characteristics of the geographical areas where the pipeline facility is located, and the likelihood that the conditions could worsen or develop on other areas of the pipeline and potentially impact its serviceability, it appears that the continued operation of the affected pipeline without corrective measures would pose a pipeline integrity risk to public safety, property, or the environment.

Accordingly, PHMSA issues this Notice of Proposed Safety Order to notify THPP of the proposed issuance of a safety order and to propose that it take the measures specified herein to address the potential risk.

Response to this Notice

In accordance with § 190.239, you have 30 days following receipt of this Notice to submit a written response to the official who issued the Notice. If you do not respond within 30 days, this constitutes a waiver of your right to contest this Notice and authorizes the Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety to find facts as alleged in this Notice without further notice to you and to issue a Safety Order.

In your response, you may notify that official that you intend to comply with the terms of the Notice as proposed, or you may request that an informal consultation be scheduled (you will also have the opportunity to request an administrative hearing before a safety order is issued). Informal consultation provides you with the opportunity to explain the circumstances associated with the risk condition(s) alleged in the Notice and, as appropriate, to present a proposal for a work plan or other remedial measures, without prejudice to your position in any subsequent hearing. If you and PHMSA agree within 30 days of informal consultation on a plan and schedule for you to address each identified risk condition, we may enter into a written consent agreement (PHMSA would then issue an administrative consent order incorporating the terms of the agreement).

If a consent agreement is not reached, or if you have elected not to request informal consultation, you may request an administrative hearing in writing within 30 days following receipt of the Notice or within 10 days following the conclusion of an informal consultation that did not result in a consent agreement, as applicable. Following a hearing, if the Associate Administrator finds the facility to have a condition that poses a pipeline integrity risk to the public, property, or the environment in accordance with §190.239, the Associate Administrator may issue a safety order.

Be advised that all material you submit in response to this enforcement action is subject to being made publicly available. If you believe that any portion of your responsive material qualifies for confidential treatment under 5 U.S.C. 552(b), along with the complete original document you must provide a second copy of the document with the portions you believe qualify for confidential treatment redacted and an explanation of why you believe the redacted information qualifies for confidential treatment under 5 U.S.C. 552(b).

In your correspondence on this matter, please refer to CPF 3-2013-5032 and for each document you submit, please provide a copy in electronic format whenever possible.
Completed Corrective Measures

Through coordination with PHMSA, THPP has completed:

1. Removed the failed pipe joint, and sent it to a third party laboratory for mechanical and metallurgical analysis per PHMSA protocols.
2. Submitted a repair plan for PHMSA approval.
3. Received and provided the preliminary mechanical and metallurgical analysis report.
4. Removed approximately 1200 feet of existing 6 inch pipeline.
5. Installed and rerouted approximately 1500 feet of new 6 inch, 0.281 wall, seamless, FBE coated pipeline.
6. Submitted a restart plan for PHMSA approval.
7. Notified local and State emergency responders and officials of its potential restart actions.
8. Installed and made operational leak detection equipment, to be compliant with API 1130, for the Tioga to Stampede segment.
9. Started a close interval survey on the Line.
10. Filled the pipeline and performed a tightness test.
11. Added high-high alarms on pressure and flow on available instrumentation.
12. Modified controller screen displays to reflect the new leak detection equipment.
13. Performed controller training as necessary for the new system modifications.
14. Reviewed pressure history from various points on the THPP system.
15. Reviewed shift reports, In-Line-Inspexion (ILI) data, communication outage and weather data.
16. Started a root cause failure analysis, facilitated and supported by an independent third party.
17. Performed additional integrity testing on the system, including dynamic flow balance tests, and static pressure tests.
18. Performed acoustic leak testing on portions of the mainline system, including both crossings at Lake Sakakawea.

Proposed Corrective Measures

Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. § 60117(l) and 49 C.F.R. § 190.239, PHMSA proposes to issue to THPP a Safety Order incorporating the following remedial requirements with respect to the affected pipeline:

Upon receiving approval to restart the pipeline, THPP must:

1. Provide for adequate aerial patrol of the pipeline during the restart process and first 72 hours thereafter. After this time, provide weekly aerial patrols for 1 year, weather and safety conditions permitting.

2. Implement ground patrols for Tioga to Black Slough pipeline segment on a daily basis the first 3 days following restart, and on a weekly basis thereafter, weather and safety
conditions permitting, for 30 days after restart or until leak detection equipment has established final thresholds.

3. Improve process and associated documentation to ensure adequate communication of changes with the control room regarding operation, construction, or maintenance activities.

4. Install and implement leak detection equipment compliant with 49 CFR §195.444 and §195.134 according to API 1130 requirements for all remaining THPP system (all regulated pipelines). Installation of devices should be completed within 12 months in accordance with a risk based schedule provided to PHMSA.

   a. High priority for leak detection implementation shall be placed on areas associated with Lake Sakakawea, Little Missouri River, Yellowstone River, and other water crossings over 100 feet wide.

5. Within 90 days implement instrumentation maintenance and repair tracking system such that all control room instruments remain functional and receive a high priority regarding maintenance response.

6. Within 1 year, implement and/or provide adequate documentation of a tank monitoring program that prevents and detects leaks on regulated tanks.

   a. High priority shall be placed on those tanks of larger volume, located near rivers or other water bodies, and unusually sensitive areas.

7. Within 6 months, update and distribute a mapping system such that map overlays for the entire THPP System are available; identifying high consequence areas (HCA), could affect HCA areas, water bodies, and all commodity receipt and delivery points.

8. Within 30 days, provide the final mechanical and metallurgical testing report of the failed pipe.

9. Within 30 days, conduct an evaluation of previous in-line inspection (ILI) results, of the THPP System where results are available to determine whether any features with similar characteristics to the feature at the failure site are present elsewhere.

10. Within 6 months provide documentation of all enhanced Supervisory Control And Data Acquisition (SCADA) and control room activities. This shall include but not be limited to display reviews for consistency with API RP1165 application and added instrumentation, point to point completed checkouts for leak detection and associated instrumentation such as flow and pressure monitoring, low-low alarm pressure limits for those points that do not operate in slack line condition, verification of the accuracy of all points while running in reverse flow, pressure cycle monitoring, implementation of "Pressmon" or similar application where possible, manual leak calculations to be performed by controllers in the control room where possible and the impact to controller...
training. Leak detection and monitoring system enhancements identified per this Safety order must also be employed if the control room or monitoring location changes. A change to control room monitoring or operation locations may not result in decreased operations monitoring or leak detection performance. If third parties are utilized for operations and monitoring control room activities, contracts shall be kept current and performance of the third party periodically audited.

11. Within 90 days complete a root cause failure analysis for the Line that contains a detailed timeline of events. A detailed review associated with the timeline development shall include but not be limited to all information sources that could help identify the likely date of the failure (shift logs, pressure and flow information, controller logs, maintenance activities, communication outages, aerial patrols, ILI data runs, initial public notifications, reversal, etc.). A review of any known failure history (in-service and pressure test failures) should be included as part of the root cause failure analysis. In addition, any lessons learned shall be identified and reviewed for applicability to other locations within the THPP System. The report should provide a specific summary regarding whether or not the controllers had adequate information to recognize an abnormal operating condition. If adequate information did not exist to recognize these conditions, identify enhancements for the SCADA activities that could provide the necessary data and allow for controller recognition should this not be covered by other items in the order.

12. Within 6 months, evaluate and implement, as appropriate, cathodic protection improvements as identified by any previous reports.

13. Develop and implement a risk based plan for additional testing and surveys of the THPP System to identify and mitigate potential coating, cathodic protection and interference issues. Tesoro must show measurable progress and commitment to full implementation on this multi-year plan before PHMSA will close out this action as acceptable. PHMSA will monitor long term completion of this item as part of its normal safety inspection program.

14. A detailed evaluation of the THPP System shall be performed to identify potential preventative and mitigative measures designed to minimize the consequence of spills near Lake Sakakawea, Little Missouri River, Yellowstone River, and other water crossings over 100 feet wide. This shall include a review of the existing and potential locations for Emergency Flow Restricting Devices (EFRD) as a way to minimize the consequence of spills to Lake Sakakawea, Little Missouri River, Yellowstone River, and other water crossings over 100 feet wide. This evaluation shall be submitted to the Director for review and approval within 6 months.

15. The work identified in this Safety Order shall be referred to collectively as a “work plan”. The work plan must be revised as necessary to incorporate the results of actions undertaken pursuant to the Order and whenever necessary to incorporate new information obtained during the failure investigations and remedial activities.
16. Submit any proposed work plan revisions to the Director for prior approval. The Director may approve, disapprove, approve with conditions, or approve elements of the plan incrementally.

17. Implement the conditions of the Safety Order as approved by the Director. The results of all actions taken in accordance with the approved plan must be available for review by PHMSA or its representative.

18. Submit monthly reports to the Director on the status of individual Safety Order items. The first monthly report is due on the 15th day of the month following receipt of the Order. The regular intervals for submitting reports may be adjusted with prior approval of the Director.

19. It is requested that THPP maintain documentation of the costs associated with implementation of the Safety Order, and include in each report submitted pursuant to Item 14, the to-date total costs associated with: (1) preparation and revision of procedures, studies and analyses; (2) physical changes to pipeline infrastructure, including repairs, replacements and other modifications; and (3) environmental remediation, if applicable.

20. The Director may grant an extension of time for compliance with any of the terms of the Safety Order upon a written request timely submitted demonstrating good cause for an extension.

21. THPP may appeal any decision of the Director to the Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety. Decisions of the Associate Administrator are final.

The above actions proposed to be required by this Notice of Proposed Safety Order are in addition to and do not waive any requirements that apply to THPP’s pipeline system under 49 C.F.R. Parts 190 through 199, under any other order issued to THPP under authority of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 601, or under any other provision of Federal or State law.

After receiving and analyzing additional data in the course of this proceeding and implementation of the work plan, PHMSA may identify other safety measures that need to be taken. In that event, THPP will be notified of any proposed additional measures and, if necessary, amendments to the work plan or Safety Order.

Linda Daugherty
Director, Central Region
Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

10/31/13
Date issued
C

Effective: February 17, 2009

Code of Federal Regulations Currentness
Title 49. Transportation
Subtitle B. Other Regulations Relating to Transportation
Chapter I. Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, Department of Transportation (Refs & Annos)
Subchapter D. Pipeline Safety
Part 190. Pipeline Safety Programs and Rulemaking Procedures (Refs & Annos)
§ 190.239 Safety orders.

(a) When may PHMSA issue a safety order? If the Associate Administrator, OPS finds, after notice and an opportunity for hearing under paragraph (b) of this section, that a particular pipeline facility has a condition or conditions that pose a pipeline integrity risk to public safety, property, or the environment, the Associate Administrator may issue an order requiring the operator of the facility to take necessary corrective action. Such action may include physical inspection, testing, repair, or other appropriate action to remedy the identified risk condition.

(b) How is an operator notified of the proposed issuance of a safety order and what are its response options?

(1) Notice of proposed safety order. PHMSA will serve written notice of a proposed safety order under § 190.5 to an operator of the pipeline facility. The notice will allege the existence of a condition that poses a pipeline integrity risk to public safety, property, or the environment, and state the facts and circumstances that support issuing a safety order for the specified pipeline or portion thereof. The notice will also specify proposed testing, evaluations, integrity assessment, or other actions to be taken by the operator and may propose that the operator submit a work plan and schedule to address the conditions identified in the notice. The notice will also provide the operator with its response options, including procedures for requesting informal consultation and a hearing. An operator receiving a notice will have...
30 days to respond to the PHMSA official who issued the notice.

(2) Informal consultation. Upon timely request by the operator, PHMSA will provide an opportunity for informal consultation concerning the proposed safety order. Such informal consultation shall commence within 30 days, provided that PHMSA may extend this time by request or otherwise for good cause. Informal consultation provides an opportunity for the respondent to explain the circumstances associated with the risk condition(s) identified in the notice and, where appropriate, to present a proposal for corrective action, without prejudice to the operator's position in any subsequent hearing. If the respondent and Regional Director agree within 30 days of the informal consultation on a plan for the operator to address each risk condition, they may enter into a written consent agreement and Associate Administrator may issue a consent order incorporating the terms of the agreement. If a consent agreement is not reached within 30 days of the informal consultation (or if informal consultation is not requested), the Associate Administrator may proceed under paragraphs (b)(3) through (5) of this section. If PHMSA subsequently determines that an operator has failed to comply with the terms of a consent order, PHMSA may obtain any administrative or judicial remedies available under 49 U.S.C. 60101 et seq. and this part. If a consent agreement is not reached, any admissions made by the operator during the informal consultation shall be excluded from the record in any subsequent hearing. Nothing in this paragraph (b) precludes PHMSA from terminating the informal consultation process if it has reason to believe that the operator is not engaging in good faith discussions or otherwise concludes that further consultation would not be productive or in the public interest.

(3) Hearing. An operator receiving a notice of proposed safety order may contest the notice, or any portion thereof, by filing a written request for a hearing within 30 days following receipt of the notice or within 10 days following the conclusion of informal consultation that did not result in a consent agreement, as applicable. In the absence of a timely request for a hearing, the Associate Administrator may issue a safety order in the form of the proposed order in accordance with paragraphs (e) through (g) of this section.

(4) Conduct of hearing. An attorney from the Office of Chief Counsel, PHMSA, will serve as the Presiding Official in a hearing under this section. The hearing will be conducted informally, without strict adherence to formal rules of evidence in accordance with § 190.211. The respondent may submit any relevant information or materials, call witnesses, and present arguments on the issue of whether a safety order should be issued to address the alleged presence of a condition that poses a pipeline integrity risk to public safety, property, or the environment.

spondent may submit any relevant information or materials, call witnesses, and present arguments on the issue of whether a safety order should be issued to address the alleged presence of a condition that poses a pipeline integrity risk to public safety, property, or the environment.

(5) Post-hearing action. Following a hearing under this section, the Presiding Official will submit a recommendation to the Associate Administrator concerning issuance of a final safety order. Upon receipt of the recommendation, the Associate Administrator may proceed under paragraphs (c) through (g) of this section. If the Associate Administrator finds the facility to have a condition that poses a pipeline integrity risk to public safety, property, or the environment, the Associate Administrator will issue a safety order under this section. If the Associate Administrator does not find that the facility has such a condition, or concludes that a safety order is otherwise not warranted, the Associate Administrator will withdraw the notice and promptly notify the operator in writing by service as prescribed in §190.5. Nothing in this subsection precludes PHMSA and the operator from entering into a consent agreement at any time before a safety order is issued.

(6) Termination of safety order. Once all remedial actions set forth in the safety order and associated work plans are completed, as determined by PHMSA, the Associate Administrator will notify the operator that the safety order has been lifted. The Associate Administrator shall suspend or terminate a safety order whenever the Associate Administrator determines that the pipeline facility no longer has a condition or conditions that pose a pipeline integrity risk to public safety, property, or the environment.

(c) How is the determination made that a pipeline facility has a condition that poses an integrity risk? The Associate Administrator, OPS may find a pipeline facility to have a condition that poses a pipeline integrity risk to public safety, property, or the environment under paragraph (a) of this section:

1. If under the facts and circumstances the Associate Administrator determines the particular facility has such a condition; or

2. If the pipeline facility or a component thereof has been constructed or operated with any equipment, material, or technique with a history of being susceptible to failure when used in pipeline service, unless the operator involved demonstrates that such equipment, material, or technique is not susceptible to failure given the manner it is being used for a particular facility.

(d) What factors must PHMSA consider in making a determination that a risk condition is present? In making a determination under paragraph (c) of this
section, the Associate Administrator, OPS shall consider, if relevant:

(Text of subsection (d) intro. par. effective Oct. 25, 2013.)

(d) What factors must PHMSA consider in making a determination that a risk condition is present? In making a determination under paragraph (c) of this section, the Associate Administrator shall consider, if relevant:

(1) The characteristics of the pipe and other equipment used in the pipeline facility involved, including its age, manufacturer, physical properties (including its resistance to corrosion and deterioration), and the method of its manufacture, construction or assembly;

(2) The nature of the materials transported by such facility (including their corrosive and deteriorative qualities), the sequence in which such materials are transported, and the pressure required for such transportation;

(3) The characteristics of the geographical areas where the pipeline facility is located, in particular the climatic and geologic conditions (including soil characteristics) associated with such areas;

(4) For hazardous liquid pipelines, the proximity of the pipeline to an unusually sensitive area;

(5) The population density and growth patterns of the area in which the pipeline facility is located;

(6) Any relevant recommendation of the National Transportation Safety Board issued in connection with any investigation conducted by the Board;

(7) The likelihood that the condition will impair the serviceability of the pipeline;

(8) The likelihood that the condition will worsen over time; and

(9) The likelihood that the condition is present or could develop on other areas of the pipeline.

(Text of subsection (e) intro. par. effective until Oct. 25, 2013.)

(e) What information will be included in a safety order? A safety order shall contain the following:

(Text of subsection (e) intro. par. effective Oct. 25, 2013.)

(c) What information will be included in a safety order? A safety order shall contain the following:

(1) A finding that the pipeline facility has a condition that poses a pipeline integrity risk to public safety, property, or the environment;

(2) The relevant facts which form the basis of that finding;

(3) The legal basis for the order;

(4) The nature and description of any particular corrective actions to be required of the operator; and
(5) The date(s) by which the required corrective actions must be taken or completed and, where appropriate, the duration of the order.

(f) Can PHMSA take other enforcement actions on the affected facilities? Nothing in this section precludes PHMSA from issuing a Notice of Probable Violation under § 190.207 or taking other enforcement action if noncompliance is identified at the facilities that are the subject of a safety order proceeding.

(g) May I petition for reconsideration of a safety order? Yes, a petition for reconsideration may be submitted in accordance with § 190.243.
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