



Detection and Assessment R&D

Bill Amend - Southern California Gas Co.

Representing the Materials Committee of Pipeline Research Council International, Inc.

> Pipeline R&D Forum December 10-11, 2003





Today's Briefing

Committee structure and operations
Program objectives
Key projects
Where do we go from here?





Committee Operations

Organization

- Committee Chairman
- Four Program Chairmen
- Project ad-hoc committees and chairmen
- PRCI staff support

Program/Project Development

- Program review / Idea brainstorming (January)
- Solicitation of planning summaries from contractors
- Selection of projects (May)
- Development and approval of proposals (September)
- Contract funding





Committee Budget

Program Assessing and managing in-service damage

Reducing the cost of pipeline construction through new materials and welding processes

Maintenance welding

Integrity issues in advanced materials design

<u>2004 = \$3MM</u>

\$994K

\$1132K

\$445K

\$422K





Materials Committee Mission

- "Improve the performance and integrity of new and existing energy pipelines
- and lower the costs of pipeline construction, operation and maintenance
- through research, development and implementation of material, joining and inspection technologies"



Assessing and Managing In-service Damage

Program Statement:

Enable a 25% reduction in the frequency, consequences and associated costs of all types of inservice degradation and damage

by developing improved approaches to damage assessment, damage remediation and the overall framework for damage management by 2006.





Assessing and Managing In-service Damage

- Managing Corrosion
- Managing SCC
- Managing Mechanical Damage / Geotechnical Hazards
- Integrity Management Framework







Damage Assessment and Management Corrosion Objectives

- To develop a comprehensive and self-consistent suite of easy-to-use <u>methods for assessing the structural</u> <u>significance of corrosion defects</u>, covering the full range of situations found in service
- To ensure that these methods can readily be interfaced with ILI and other inspection data as part of the overall damage management process





Damage Assessment and Management Corrosion

Structural significance – remaining strength

- (12 completed projects, 6 active projects, \$300,000/yr)
- RSTRENG
- Combined US-European database of test results
- Improved methods based on plastic collapse
- Closely spaced defect clusters
- Older materials with low toughness/ductility
- Corrosion at seam and girth welds
- Co-existing corrosion and SCC
- Influence of secondary loading
- Cyclic pressure loading, higher strength steels





Damage Assessment and Management SCC Management ➡ Prevent SCC:

- Ranking susceptibility to crack initiation
- Effects of operating practices

Assess significance:

- Crack acceptance criteria
- Crack growth and coalescence
- Effect of pressure fluctuations on crack growth
- Detect/categorize:
 - ILI, DA, dig site selection







Damage Assessment and Management Mechanical Damage Management

	DC & O	Materials	C & I
Mitigate by design	10	2	-
Monitor, prevent	6	3	-
Detect, characterize	-	3	8
Structural significance	6	7	1
Remediate, repair	-	5	-
Failure trends	4	5	-
Damage management	1	5	1





Damage Assessment and Management Mechanical Damage Management

- Resistance to external damage
- Mechanical damage at welds
- Mechanics and kinetics of delayed failure
- Gap analysis outstanding issues







Damage Assessment and Management Integrity Management Framework

- Damage management for operating pipelines gap analysis
- Safe use of low-toughness pipe
- Properties of pipe and welds
 - Seam weld properties in early-generation pipelines
 - In-situ property determination
 - Pipe property database
- Reliability of girth welds







Future Funding Requirements for On-Going Research

Integrity of corroded seam and girth welds = \$100K for 2005

- Improved remaining strength assessment for corroded pipelines = \$50K for 2005
- Direct assessment approaches for mechanical damage = \$50K for 2005





Current and Future Needs

How to Optimize Assessment Intervals?

- What is a meaningful corrosion or cracking rate?
- What is the most appropriate assumption for the shape (aspect ratio) of growing corrosion or cracking?
- Do different steels show different corrosion rates?
- How accurate/reliable is ILI data on flaw size?
- Should mechanical damage be included with corrosion?











Current and Future Needs

- Data and procedures to support probabilistic analysis of integrity and risk
 - What to do in the absence of detailed historical information?
 - "User friendly" procedures





- Improved ability to assess fittings and early generation pipeline feature
 - Branches and fittings
 - Miter bends
 - Wrinkle hends





Improved indirect or remote flaw assessment to minimize need for exploratory excavations CIS/DCVG/ILL







Cost effective options for assessment and repair of low stress (<30-40% SMYS) pipelines</p>









Contact Details

Bill Amend Southern California Gas Company 213 244-5277 Bamend@SempraUtilities.com

Pipeline Research Council International Inc.

www.prci.org