Pipeline Research Council International, Inc.

Anomaly Detection and Characterization R&D for In-Line Inspection

Successes, Challenges, & Opportunities

PHMSA 2009 R&D Forum Washington, DC June 24, 2009





LEADING PIPELINE RESEARCH



Presentation Topics

- Summary of PRCI Programs and Approaches Related to In-Line Inspection – Drivers and Challenges
- Building on the Successes and Addressing the Next Series of Challenges for the R&D Community



PRCI Membership Drives Research

• 38 Energy Pipeline Operating Companies

- 25 Natural Gas Transmission; 11 Liquid
- 2 Operators both Liquid and Natural Gas Transmission

World-wide Research Organization

- 26 U.S. Companies
- 12 Non-U.S. (Brazil, Canada, Europe, Saudi Arabia)

14 Associate Members

U.S.; Canada; Mexico; Japan

Total mileage represented ~355,000 miles

PRCI

LEADING PIPELINE RESEARCH WORLDWIDE

Applus RTD Association of Oil Pipe Lines (AOPL) Berg Steel Pipe Corp. Boardwalk Pipelines BP **Buckeye Partners, LP Cameron Compression CenterPoint Energy Gas Transmission Chevron Pipe Line Company Colonial Pipeline Company Colorado Interstate Gas** Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. **ConocoPhillips Pipe Line Company Dominion Transmission Corp. Dresser-Rand Corporation El Paso Natural Gas Enbridge Energy Partners, LP** EPCO, Inc. **Explorer Pipeline Company ExxonMobil Pipeline Company** GE Oil & Gas **Lincoln Electric Company Sumitomo Metal Industries Marathon Pipe Line LLC** National Fuel Gas Supply Corp. NDT Systems & Services Inc. Pacific Gas & Electric Co. **Panhandle Energy Company** Rosen **Shell Pipeline Company LP** Siemens Energy & Automation, Inc. Solar Turbines Inc. Southern California Gas Co. **Southern Natural Gas** Spectra Energy Transmission, LLC T.D. Williamson, Inc. **Tennessee Gas Pipeline** Transwestern Pipeline Co. **Williams Gas Pipeline**

Alliance Pipeline Ltd.

Petrobras

Tubos de Acero de Mexico

Enbridge Pipelines Inc. Evraz Inc. NA TransCanada PipeLines, Ltd. TransGas, Ltd.

Gassco A.S.

National Grid

Total S.A.

GDF Suez

Gas

N.V. Nederlandse Gasunie

Saudi Aramco

Australian Pipeline Industry Association

Nippon Steel

www.prci.org

NDE Roadmap









Overall Objectives of Roadmap

To develop and validate improved tools and inspection procedures for locating, sizing and characterizing:

- Corrosion and metal/wall loss
- Stress corrosion cracking
- Non-penetrating mechanical damage defects due to impact
- Other features/defects (e.g., Vintage girth welds)

www.prci.org



8

Defect Populations – NDE Program

- Corrosion Internal & External
- Coating damage, removal
- Dent (re-rounded), pipe ovalization
- Stress, strain concentration
- Metal removal, ploughing, gouge
- Surface & sub-surface cracking
- Time-dependent cracking
- MD with Nearby weld, corrosion
- Leak vs. Rupture
 - Tracks 2, 3 & 4





NDE Roadmap – Current ILI Programs in NDE

Focus on managing threats and integrity management

- MD-1; Tools to Detect and Discriminate Mechanical Damage
- NDE 1; NDE Inside the Pipe
- SCC-3; Sensor Technology for Sizing and Characterizing SCC Cracks
- EC-4; Accuracy of tools for corrosion mapping
- EC-5; Location and Characterization of Corrosion in Difficult to Inspect Areas
- SPIM -1; Subsea Pipeline Integrity Management and inspection



Technical Approach – Current Projects

- Benchmarking and Applying Existing Technology
 - Performance of existing technologies MD-1-2
 - Vintage Girth Weld Defect Detection and Characterization Using ILI Technology – NDE 1-1
 - ILI Performance Characteristics (corrosion based) EC 4-1
 - Related in-the-ditch projects MD 1-4, MD 1-5, NDE 2-2
 - Understanding signals from MD MD-1-3
- Next-generation Technology
 - Dual-field MFL MD 1-1
 - Ultrasonic measurement of strain MD-1-6
 - Detection, Sizing and Characterization of SCC and Other Cracks in Dents SCC 3-4
 - SCC crack mapping using a Flexible Eddy Current Array Probe (SCC 3-5)
 - Monitoring advances/developments for EMAT tools SCC 3-7
- PRCI 2010 Ballot



Detecting and Characterizing Damage

11







Assessing Damage Severity









NDE Focus Areas for ILI

- Improved quantitative understanding of the ability of ILI technologies to detect and discriminate features
- Determination of the performance characteristics of existing ILI techniques
- Exploration and validation of new ILI tools/technologies
- Establishment of techniques and protocols for in-the-ditch measurements to improve understanding of ILI capabilities
- Improve (or develop new) industry guidance and best practice for characterization and measurement of anomalies



Gaps in Understanding & Capability

- Quantitative understanding of the performance of existing ILI for discriminating between significant and benign anomalies
- Ability to interpret MFL signals for accurate characterization of defect features
- Additional field experience to validate dual-field MFL and other emerging ILI technologies
- Industry guidance on feature characterization and measurement for damage severity assessment (linked to "Outside the Pipe")
- Severity-ranking and decision-making algorithms enabling timely and proportionate responses when damage is discovered
 - Link to Track 4



Future Directions & Developments

Reliability Based Integrity Management

- Key Elements of the approach are directly linked to improvements in ILI technology or development of standards and/or knowledge documents; establishing reliability targets linked to ILI/ECDA
 - ILI tool tolerances and uncertainty Effect of ILI POD and sizing uncertainties on these predictions
 - Corrosion growth rates and variability
 - Re-inspection intervals will rely substantially on understanding and degree of confidence in ILI readings; improved accuracy improves RB IMP
- Defect population and growth rates: generally comes from NDE and comparison with similar lines (databases)
 - Several PRCI projects in this area
- Impact of ILI data on computational models e.g., accuracy of prediction of limit state models (RSTRENG, ASME B31.8G)
 - The capabilities and limitations of models used needs to be carefully assessed and understood
 - Recent work in this area by both PRCI and DOT

Advance combo tools/technologies – "Super Pig"



Roadmap Outcomes & Industry Benefits

- Improved tools for locating, characterizing and sizing the features that discriminate damage severity, forming the basis for reliable, quantitative assessment of structural significance (immediate/delayed failure)
- An effective suite of assessment methods to quantify damage severity, enabling sound decision-making and safe, timely repairs (screening, case-by-case, ECA)
- New recommendations for determining safe pressure reductions and working practices during repair
- Recommended practices for using composite materials to repair mechanical damage
- An Industry Guidance document to aid decisions on the characterization, severity assessment and safe excavation/repair of damaged pipe



Key Industry Challenges and R&D Needs

- New/emerging technologies sensors, platforms, data mgmt
- Better accuracy and certainty for the sizing of corrosion and estimating corrosion growth rates
 - Improve understanding of the effects of 'very deep defects' and the statistical distribution assumptions on their occurrence and the predicted risk
 - Study time-dependent effects better assess corrosion rates/variability
 - Location dependence of corrosion and statistical dependence of corrosion rates on 'neighboring' defects
- Improved tools for crack/cracking detection
- A different approach to MFL supplements to MFL technology or step changes in tool application or advancement
- EMAT monitoring performance and modeling to advance understanding of EMAT signals
- Eddy current A combination of MFL and Eddy Current could improve identification capabilities, e.g., deep defects

17



Key Industry Challenges and R&D Needs

- Developing databases of defects for testing and validation with real features/defects
- Effective and routine communication between ILI vendors and operators
- Reducing 'Historical' Population of Defects
 - assessment → monitoring
 - repeat inspections technology capabilities will need to improve on the ±10% or 15% tolerance with an 80% certainty
- Improving Methods for Correlating ILI Signals to In-the-Ditch Assessment
 - Algorithms and protocols
- Continued validation of Reliability-Based approaches