PE Non-Destructive Testing (NDT) How do you define success? DOT/PHMSA R&D Forum June 24-25, 2009 Washington, D.C.

George Ragula (973-430-8561) Distribution Technical Leader Public Service Electric and Gas Company george.ragula@pseg.com



Background

GRI R&D Project

Objective: Develop an automated ultrasonic system to evaluate buttfused PE pipes for sizes 2" – 12"

- 1983 General Research Corp.
 Developer
- 1988 McElroy Commerializer
- 1995 Commercially available

Market Drivers

- Failure investigations
- Quality control (QC) improvements
 - Reliability
 - Integrity
 - Training
- Safety/reliability
- Higher operating pressures

Market Drivers

- Proposed IDF changes
- Reduce costs
 - New/replacement installations
 - Repairs

Improve Reliability and Integrity of Piping Materials

Prototype Development

- GRI Distribution Project Advisory Group
- PSE&G involvement ground floor 1991
- Ultrasonic technology works
 - Improvements made over time
 - Consistent results obtained
- Full range of inspection sizes required
 - Multi-phased approach
 - 2" 12"
 - MDPE
 - HDPE
 - Various pipe manufacturers

1993 Prototype Field Test Results

Participants

- Northern Illinois Gas (MDPE)
- PSE&G (HDPE)
- Con Edison (HDPE)
- Elizabethtown Gas (MDPE)
- Lone Star Gas (MDPE)
- 2" 6" diameter piping
- All fusions classified correctly
 - Good fusions
 - Bad fusions

1993 Marketing Plan

- Advertising campaign
- Customer demonstrations
- Plastic pipe symposium paper
- Technology presentations
 - Regional
 - International

Fast Forward to 2001

- Commercialized by McElroy (1996 – 30 units sold)
- McSnapper test developed
- Enhancements
 - Software
 - Hardware
- \$30-35K equipment cost
- Total units sold approximately 50
- Product discontinued
 - Lack of market penetration
 - Lack of consensus among users

So What Happened?

- Technical success vs. business failure
- Was industry ready?
 - Skepticism
 - Cost
 - Training/Expertise
 - Technology transfer challenges
- User friendliness questioned
 - Operator interpretation (3rd party inspection vs. in-house use)
 - Red light/green light concept
 - Lacking Standards of Acceptability (SOA)

Industry need accounted for?

Challenges/Lessons Learned

Solicit Industry Input

- Continuous
- "Right" people
- Prototype feedback
- Finalize and meet specifications
- Clearly define benefits
- Obtain commercializing partner at right time
- Collective involvement
 - Technology transfer
 - Training



Current Status

NYSEARCH

- WZIM
- Ultrasonic phased array
- Microwave
- Digital X-ray
- Butt fusion integrity
 - Process improvements
 - Isolate bad joint parameters

Still need SOA

Electrofusion QC



Benefits

Enhance fusion operator training Enhance QC

- New facilities
- Pipe-in-the ground
- Improve safety
- Increase reliability
- Reduce costs

Still a quest for The Holy Grail

