

Peer Review Brainstorming Session

Steve Gauthier (GTI)

Robert Smith (OTS)

Carol Handwerker (NIST)

Tom Kervorkian (PRCI)

Daphne D'Zurko (Nysearch)

Ron Snedic (Gti)

Why is this topic important?

- Ensure quality and consistency
- Clarity of approval process
- Enables proper commercialization and handing over of technology
- To ensure that quality of published information meets the standards of the scientific community.
- Feedback for proper development of technology
- Offers positive and negative reinforcement

Is this topic realistic to implement into our forum's National Pipeline Research Agenda?

- Yes
 - Topic is needed to validate the needs and concerns of the pipeline industry that can be addressed through research and technology development.

Were any road blocks identified?

- Ensure timely process;
- Quick to respond;
- Flexibility wrt business plans;
- Ensure that appropriate parties are involved,
- Ensure that people who need technology will actually purchase it;
- Identifying panel of experts;
- Coordination amongst various funding partners

What plan was proposed?

- 100% of projects should undergo peer review
- Create peer review schedule in accordance with needs
- Periodic review of multi year projects
- Create technical committees in specific areas of interest comprising of reps of varied talents
- High level screening in program develop phase
- Strict deadlines
- Pre and Post project peer reviews (to capture lessons learned and ensure technology transfer)