Threat Identification

Ideal Attributes

- All threats to a system are considered
- As conditions change, threat identification updates
- Multiple threat interaction is understood and accounted for
- When threats are identified, appropriate response is efficiently planned
- Responses are targeted to the threat or combination of threats
- Response and mitigation information is immediately fed back to the threat Identification system to validate predictions or update assumptions.
- Threats are properly prioritized, effectively communicated and performance is easily measured.
- The system relies on integrated data from all sources in real time (SCADA, inspection data, One call activity, weather, etc.)
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Ideal Attributes

- The threat identification system is a “learning” system
  - Less well understood threats are modeled in a basic fashion
  - As data collection and information grows, models adjust based on findings
  - Ongoing sensitivity testing, algorithm refinement and incorporation of learnings and developments outside the organization

- The threat identification system performs efficient systemic analysis to model similar locations
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Ideal Attributes

- Threat identification occurs at multiple levels
  - System wide – relative and probabilistic = program planning
  - Focused – probabilistic and quantitative = project planning
- Outputs are readily available at multiple levels
  - Analytical – SME use for threat monitor and system improvement
  - Visual – for use by broader audience to validate, plan, analyze and make decisions
  - Dashboard – to measure performance (the heartbeat of the organization)
- Broad distribution of threat and risk assessment is critical.
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Common Issues

- Good data needed to feed analysis is often not readily available in an integrated, ready to use format
- Response selection is not inherently tied to threat identification
- Data feedback is slow or sometimes not occurring
- Data management overhead limits time for analysis and response.
- Far from real time
- Heavily dependent on SME’s turning the crank and using the results
- Limited distribution of threat and risk systems, limiting value
Common Issues

- Models are static, slow to improve
- Too often there is a focus on risk management bells and whistles over content and application (form over substance)
- Focus has been heavily on models and less on tools to make risk management more integral to operations (communication, integration, planning, evolution, etc.)
- Systems are often myopic - Program level prioritization, or trying to pinpoint the next failure, etc.
Gaps

- Underlying integration technologies – how do we make integration inherent?
- Lack of risk management consensus minimum standards across liquid and gas
- Technology to provide learning systems
- Bandwidth to get real time feedback
- Dynamic system to measure real time threats and changes
- High resolution threat analysis with roll up capabilities
- Spatial analysis
Opportunities

?