Bruce Young and Jennifer O'Brian Battelle Energy Resources September 28, 2016 Teleconference

https://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/matrix/PrjHome.rdm?prj=390

#### The Comprehensive Study to Understand Longitudinal ERW Seam Failures Project

Contract No. DTPH56-11-T-000003 Battelle Project No. 100004552



# Objective

- Provide an overview of the project focusing on *technical* accomplishments used to advance the state of the art in analytical modeling for axial crack-like defects in oil and gas pipeline.
- 2. Demonstrate the capabilities of PipeAssess<sup>™</sup> which implements these analytical models to provide the owners, operators, and regulators advanced, easy-to-use tools to make decisions on safe operation, repair/replace, and re-inspection intervals.



#### **Project Review Papers**

- B. A. Young, S. Nanney, B. L. Leis, and J. M. Smith, "Overview of a Comprehensive Study to Understand Longitudinal ERW Seam Failures" IPC2014-33226, ASME-IPC 2014, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, September 2014
- B. A. Young, S. Nanney, and J. M. O'Brian, "Review of Phase II for the Comprehensive Study to Understand Longitudinal ERW Seam Failures", ASME International, IPC 2016, IPC2016-64142, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, September 2016



#### Outline

- Project Drivers
- Phase I Overview
- Phase II
  - Task 1 Improve Hydrotesting Protocols for ERW/FW Seams
  - Task 2 Enhance Defect Detection and Sizing
  - Task 3 Defect Characterization: Type, Size, Shape
  - Task 4 Model Refinement / V&V
- Details of PipeAssess<sup>™</sup> (Phase II, Task 5)
- Future Concepts
- Demonstration of PipeAssess<sup>™</sup>



#### **Drivers for the Project**

- Stemmed from the Carmichael, MS rupture in 2007
- NTSB P-09-01 Recommended Comprehensive Study
  - ERW pipe properties
  - Assess the means to assure the integrity so they do not fail in service.
- Battelle, KAI, and DNV–Columbus teamed to conduct a comprehensive study to understand longitudinal seam failures in electric resistance welded (ERW) and flash-welded pipes.
- Project started in August 2011
- Phase I completed in January 2014
- Phase II completed in August 2017



# Phase I, Task Organization

#### Task 1 History and current practice

- failure history of ERW and FW seams,
- the effectiveness of ILI and hydrotesting, and
- experience with predictive modeling

**Task 2** Experiments designed to better characterize and quantify the resistance of such seams and their response to pressure.

- the validity of predictive models of pipeline failure, and,
- the viability of ILI and ITD inspection tools.

#### Task 3 Focused on selective seam weld corrosion (SSWC).

- literature review and analysis of the results,
- field-deployable method to quantify the susceptibility of a seam to this failure mechanism, and
- guidelines developed to mitigate this mechanism

#### Task 4 Summary and Recommendations

#### Phase I, Results

- 17 Public Reports in Phase I (<u>https://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/matrix/PrjHome.rdm?prj=390</u>)
- 11 Specific Recommendations
  - Six (6) on Condition Assessment via ILI or Hydrotesting
  - Three (3) on Predictive Models
  - One (1) on Local Mechanical and Fracture Properties
  - One (1) on Aging Pipelines
- 2 Presentations: 2014 PRCI Research Exchange Meeting
- 5 Presentations: 2014 ASME IPC



#### Outline

- Phase II
  - Task 1 Improve Hydrotesting Protocols for ERW/FW Seams
  - Task 2 Enhance Defect Detection and Sizing
  - Task 3 Defect Characterization: Type, Size, Shape
  - Task 4 Model Refinement / V&V
  - Task 5 Integrity Management Software
  - Each Task produced a report
  - Phase II completed in August 2017



#### Task 1 – Hydrotest Protocols



Details can be found in the following paper:

R. J. Olson, B. L. Leis, and B. A. Young, "Findings from an Investigation of Hydrotest Protocols", ASME International, IPC 2016, IPC2016-64146, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, September 2016



## Task 2, ILI & ITDM

- 90+ cracks deeper than 25% NWT collected
  - Traditional ITDM and IWEX used
- Largest cracks installed in Battelle's Ø16" ILI pull rig
  - EMAT and transverse MFL used
- 19 crack sets identified for validation
  - > 2 cracks false positives via MPI and Shear Wave
  - > 17 crack sets underwent metallography



SWA #1 axial profile showing 99% NWT depth

Seam Weld Anomaly (SWA) #1



SWA #1 leaking during lab hydrotest



Inspection call comparisons of SWA #1



## Task 2, ILI & ITDM



- EMAT & transverse MFL PODs exceed or on target with system specification
- EMAT tends to oversize length & undersize depth
- Transverse MFL offers complementary role to EMAT crack sizing

(e.g. screen for innocuous features like excess trim, identify long seam & pipe fab process, etc)

## Task 2, ILI & ITDM



Acoustic Imaging length calls can be accurate

13 of 16 simple anomalies' lengths within +/- 0.5". Two of the remaining were undersized

Acoustic Imaging depth generally reliable

13 of 16 simple anomalies' depths within +/-18%. Remaining three oversized



#### Task 3, Defect Characterization

- Analytical modeling of failure requires detailed characterization of flaws
- Defect Characterization: Type, Size, Shape
- Required to complete Tasks 1, 4, and 5
- Major shapes (hook, stitching, SSWC,...etc.)
- Characterized shapes by calculating linear elastic stress intensity values (K)

#### **Task 3, Defect Characterization**







#### **Task 3, Defect Characterization**





- Explicit Models Developed / Implemented
- Fracture
  - Plastic Collapse, Tearing, and Brittle Fracture
  - Hook Cracks growth perpendicular to hoop stress
- Crack Growth / Retardation
  - Paris Law with threshold values
  - Walker model to account for stress-ratio effects
  - Willenborg model to account for overloads
- Account for explicit hydrotests
- Account for semi-explicit (block loading) fatigue cycles



• Threshold Values



$$K_{th} = R(m_1 SMYS + b_1) + m_2 SMYS + b_2 - \delta$$
$$\delta = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ for weld} \\ 0 \text{ for base} \end{cases}$$



Walker Model

$$\frac{da}{dN} = C \left[ \frac{\Delta K}{(1-R)^{(1-m)}} \right]^n$$



**Battelle** The Business of Innovation





#### Task 4, Current Status

- Models have been Implemented
  - Fracture (Brittle, Ductile, Plastic Collapse...)
  - Fatigue Crack Growth
    - Walker with Threshold Concept
    - Willenborg
  - Creep (Stress-Induced) Crack Growth
- Lab-Scale Testing Complete
- Full-Scale Testing being planned



#### **TASK 5 PipeAssess PI<sup>™</sup> Overview**

- Overall, this software is designed to directly determine:
  - critical crack size for a given operating pressure, applied as either a constant pressure or cyclic load, or
  - failure pressure for a given flaw size.
- Crack growth mechanisms can either be
  - time-dependent (i.e. Fatigue Crack Growth or Creep)
  - time-independent (Tearing), or
  - both
- PipeAssess<sup>™</sup> can be used to evaluate remaining life of pipe and similar cylindrical pressure vessels with pre-existing axial crack-like defects. Note that this program does not initiate cracks from defect-free material; an initial flaw size is required input. (i.e. Flaw Tolerant Approach)



#### **Overview Continued**

- The fracture mechanics theory for both time independent and timedependent crack growth are theoretically consistent with:
  - NG-18 report 193
  - NG-18 report 194
- The founding principles revolve around long-established and respected J-tearing theory within elastic—plastic material behavior and Paris Law behavior for fatigue. The time-dependent nature (i.e. creep) of a simulated hydrotest is also captured

#### **Overview Continued**

- As the modeling appropriately accounts for the differing material behavior for brittle, quasi-brittle, and ductile steels; varying types of material property values are valid inputs.
- The PipeAssess<sup>™</sup> software is not limited to only ductile crack growth. Three failure modes are assessed for each case and the value from the limiting failing mechanism is provided to the user. This includes failure by:
  - ductile tearing,
  - net section collapse, and
  - ultimate material limit.



## **Material Properties – User Input**

\*\*IMPORTANT\*\* It is strongly recommended to use material properties local to the crack, wherever possible. This is especially critical for cracks located in an ERW bondline or heat affected zone, where typically the metal has drastically different properties than the

base metal.	File Tools Window Help
	PipeAssess - Default Case Name: Comments
Required Input: Material Properties	Input       Failure Results       Failure Graph       Growth Results       Growth Results       Category       Category       Examples       CW-Cold Weld, E-Semi-Elliptical, ID-Crack On Inner Surface, PTWC-Part Through-Wall Crack (Surface Crack), S-Single         Outside Diam       36       in       Fracture Family:       Cold Weld Surface Crack       Examples       CW-Cold Weld, E-Semi-Elliptical, ID-Crack On Inner Surface, PTWC-Part Through-Wall Crack (Surface Crack), S-Single         Voudside Diam       36       in       Examples       CW-Cold Weld, E-Semi-Elliptical, ID-Crack On Inner Surface, PTWC-Part Through-Wall Crack (Surface Crack), S-Single       Category:       CW_E_ID_PTWC_S_3D       Examples       Category:       Category:       CW_E_ID_PTWC_S_3D       Category:       Cutegory:       Cutegory: </th



## Summary

- User Inputs
  - Pipe geometry and material properties
  - Crack Geometry
  - Fatigue Loading as a function of time
  - Hydrotest Loading profile
- Software Calculates
  - Instantaneous failure pressure for given crack size
  - Family of failure curves for various combinations of depths and lengths
  - For Fatigue / Hydrotests
    - Crack Growth as a function of operational time
    - Failure Pressure as a function of operational time



#### **Beyond the Basic PipeAssess**<sup>™</sup>



#### External Corrosion added with Internal R&D Funding



Cumulative Probability Log Plot

#### Probabilistic Modeling added with Internal R&D Funding

Failure Pressure Distribution CW.CW E ID PTWC S 3D, N = 1000000

#### CW.CW\_E\_ID\_PTWC\_S\_3D, N = 1000000 30000 25000 20000 -2 og(CDF) 15000 10000 5000 -5 Variab Unit Var1 Var2 Min Max Туре OD Normal ▼ 16 0.05 15.75 16.25 lin 410. 444. 512. 546. -0.01 0.232 0.332 wt in Triangular ▼ 29000 200 F Deterministic 28000 30000 ksi Failure Pressures (PSI) ▼ 42 SMYS ksi Uniform 1 35 47 Failure Assessment Diagram ▼ 55 1 50 60 Max YS ksi Uniform P(Fail)=1.987900E-002, MOP=1.500 Likelyhood UTS Normal ▼ 75 1.5 67.5 82.5 CW.CW\_E\_ID\_PTWC\_S\_3D, N=1000000 ksi ▼ 15 CVN ft-lbs Uniform 0.75 11.25 18.75 ▼ 0.1 0.01 0 0.2 d in Normal 1.2 Normal ▼ 0.141 0.01 0.091 0.191 a lin ▼ 1.41 2c in Normal 0.05 1.16 1.66 0.96 0.72 Ł 0 48 0 24 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.2 0 Lr #Samples 1000000 Min MOP: 1.5 Run Simulation • Chart Inputs Likelyhood

**Battelle** The Business of Innovation

External Corrosion and Probabilistic Modeling Added with Internal R&D Funding





Technical Roadmap for PipeAssess PI beyond base software for DOT PHMSA





## **Licensing Considerations**

#### Battelle is Licensing PipeAssess<sup>™</sup>

- A Yearly Subscription Fee (per seat)
- A Joint Industry Program for those interested in funding additional capabilities benefits: 10 seats for 10 years
  - cost: 60K/year for 2 years (\$120K commitment)

## **Call for Pipe**

