



U.S. Department  
of Transportation  
**Research and  
Special Programs  
Administration**

400 Seventh St., S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20590

DEC - 3 2002

Mr. Chip Brabson  
Vice President - Engineering  
Enterprise Products Operating, L.P.  
2727 North Loop West  
P.O. Box 4324  
Houston, Texas 77210-4324

Re: CPF No. 4-2002-5008M

Dear Mr. Brabson:

Enclosed is the Order Directing Amendment issued by the Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety in the above-referenced case. The Order finds that you have addressed the inadequacies in your procedures that were cited in the Notice of Amendment. This enforcement action is now closed. Your receipt of the Order Directing Amendment constitutes service of that document under 49 C.F.R. § 190.5.

Sincerely,

Gwendolyn M. Hill  
Pipeline Compliance Registry  
Office of Pipeline Safety

Enclosure

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
RESEARCH AND SPECIAL PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATION  
OFFICE OF PIPELINE SAFETY  
WASHINGTON, DC 20590

\_\_\_\_\_  
In the Matter of )  
)  
Enterprise Products Operating, L.P., ) CPF No. 4-2002-5008M  
)  
Respondent. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

ORDER DIRECTING AMENDMENT

On February 13-14, 2002, pursuant to 49 U.S.C. § 60117, representatives of the Western and Southwest Regions, Office of Pipeline Safety (OPS), inspected Enterprise Products Operating, L.P.'s (Respondent's) integrity management program at Respondent's facility in Houston, Texas. As a result of the inspection, the Southwest Regional Director, OPS, issued to Respondent, by letter dated May 13, 2002, a Notice of Amendment (NOA). The NOA alleged inadequacies in Respondent's integrity management program and proposed to require amendment of Respondent's procedures to comply with the requirements of 49 C.F.R. § 195.452(b)(2).

Respondent responded to the NOA by letter dated June 10, 2002. Respondent did not contest the NOA and did not request a hearing, consequently Respondent waived its right to one. However, Respondent submitted amended integrity management program procedures to address the inadequacies cited in the NOA. The Southwest Region reviewed the revised procedures.

Accordingly, based on the results of this review, I find that Respondent's original integrity management program procedures as described in the NOA were inadequate to ensure safe operation of its pipeline system, but that Respondent has corrected the identified inadequacies. Respondent need not take any further action with respect to the matters in this case.

The terms and conditions of this Order Directing Amendment are effective upon receipt.



Stacey Gerard  
Associate Administrator  
for Pipeline Safety

DEC - 3 2002

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date Issued