NOTICE OF AMENDMENT

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

June 18, 2013

Mr. Brent Backes
General Counsel and Vice President
DCP Midstream LLC
370 17th Street, Suite 2500
Denver, Colorado 80202

CPF 3-2013-1008M

Dear Mr. Backes:

On September 17, 2012, a representative of the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) pursuant to Chapter 601 of 49 United States Code inspected DCP Midstream LLC (DCP) procedures for its Public Awareness Program (PAP) in Denver, Colorado.

On the basis of the inspection, PHMSA has identified the apparent inadequacies found within DCP Midstream’s plans or procedures, as described below:

1. §192.616 Public awareness.

   (a) Except for an operator of a master meter or petroleum gas system covered under paragraph (j) of this section, each pipeline operator must develop and implement a written continuing public education program that follows the guidance provided in the American Petroleum Institute’s (API) Recommended Practice (RP) 1162 (incorporated by reference, see § 192.7).

DCP’s written PAP is inadequate because it does not have communication procedures for Field and Corporate personnel. The plan does not have a procedure on how to keep track of communications and those resources involved in the PAP. As a result, sustaining PAP compliance and implementation throughout the pipeline system operations has not been adequately tracked, and measured.
2. §192.616 Public awareness.

(b) The operator’s program must follow the general program recommendations of API RP 1162 and assess the unique attributes and characteristics of the operator’s pipeline and facilities.

DCP’s written PAP is inadequate because it does not account for listed assets apart from their pipelines. Its written PAP does not have procedures to notify stakeholder audiences of major facilities apart from their pipelines within the stakeholder audience in proximity to the pipeline system.

3. §192.616 Public awareness.

(c) The operator must follow the general program recommendations, including baseline and supplemental requirements of API RP 1162, unless the operator provides justification in its program or procedural manual as to why compliance with all or certain provisions of the recommended practice is not practicable and not necessary for safety.

DCP’s written PAP is inadequate because it does not have annual review and effectiveness evaluation procedures to meet the requirements of the compliance code. The activities or items, and the method to review them are not documented in its entirety. In accordance with the PAPEE inspection, it is unclear what DCP Midstream is reviewing for its annual review and effectiveness evaluation.

DCP’s written PAP is inadequate because it does not ensure that mailings will be reviewed annually. At the time of the PHMSA inspection, the operator could not provide mailings for 2008.

DCP’s written PAP is inadequate for not having a procedure in place to determine when supplemental activities are required. It is also inadequate for not addressing enhancement of the operator’s assets through supplemental activities.

DCP’s written PAP is inadequate because it does not have a procedure to address closing the loop with its Liaison Emergency Response officials, and providing capability survey information to local assets.

DCP’s written PAP is inadequate for not having a procedure that ensures an adequate annual implementation review. During the inspection it was unclear what the operator reviewed during its annual implementation audit.

DCP’s written PAP is inadequate because it does not have a procedure to summarize activities completed by operations as good examples to be promoted around DCP. In addition, the procedure does not address tracking completed activities.
DCP’s written PAP is inadequate because it does not have a procedure to track improvement action items with target completion dates, assigned personnel, and recommendations that came from the PAP effectiveness evaluation survey.

DCP’s written PAP is inadequate because it does not have a procedure that specifically addresses the effectiveness evaluation of DCP’s PAP.

DCP’s written PAP is inadequate because it does not address determining the percentage of individual or entities actually reached within the target audience within all areas along all systems covered by its program.

DCP’s written PAP is inadequate because it does not specifically address determining the percentage of individual or entities that actually understood and retained the key information in the messages received within the target audience within all areas along all systems covered by its program.

DCP’s written PAP is inadequate because it does not track the changes reached during the effectiveness evaluation, the tracking of the individuals set to accomplish the action items required to implement the changes, and the tracking of due dates to ensure the changes are implemented.

4. §192.616 Public awareness.

(d) The operator’s program must specifically include provisions to educate the public, appropriate government organizations, and persons engaged in excavation related activities on:

(1) Use of a one-call notification system prior to excavation and other damage prevention activities;
(2) Possible hazards associated with unintended releases from a gas pipeline facility;
(3) Physical indications that such a release may have occurred;
(4) Steps that should be taken for public safety in the event of a gas pipeline release; and
(5) Procedures for reporting such an event.

DCP’s written PAP is inadequate because its use of the “established methods” to identify stakeholders are not applied individually, and it does not include language supporting activities that are currently being done by the operator to identify stakeholders. DCP needs to document the buffer calculation per stakeholder audience, and include the PIR calculations used within the 660 feet buffer.

5. §192.616 Public awareness.

(g) The program must be conducted in English and in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of the non-English speaking population in the operator’s area.
DCP’s written PAP is inadequate because the procedure for determining what language to send the public awareness message in does not demonstrate how a significant percentage and concentration of language in a geographical area is determined.

Response to this Notice
This Notice is provided pursuant to 49 U.S.C. § 60108(a) and 49 C.F.R. § 190.237. Enclosed as part of this Notice is a document entitled Response Options for Pipeline Operators in Compliance Proceedings. Please refer to this document and note the response options. Be advised that all material you submit in response to this enforcement action is subject to being made publicly available. If you believe that any portion of your responsive material qualifies for confidential treatment under 5 U.S.C. 552(b), along with the complete original document you must provide a second copy of the document with the portions you believe qualify for confidential treatment redacted and an explanation of why you believe the redacted information qualifies for confidential treatment under 5 U.S.C. 552(b). If you do not respond within 30 days of receipt of this Notice, this constitutes a waiver of your right to contest the allegations in this Notice and authorizes the Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety to find facts as alleged in this Notice without further notice to you and to issue a Final Order.

If, after opportunity for a hearing, your plans or procedures are found inadequate as alleged in this Notice, you may be ordered to amend your plans or procedures to correct the inadequacies (49 C.F.R. § 190.237). If you are not contesting this Notice, we propose that you submit your amended procedures to my office within 45 days of receipt of this Notice. This period may be extended by written request for good cause. Once the inadequacies identified herein have been addressed in your amended procedures, this enforcement action will be closed.

It is requested that DCP Midstream maintain documentation of the safety improvement costs associated with fulfilling this Notice of Amendment (preparation/revision of plans, procedures) and submit the total to David Barrett, Director, Central Region, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration. In correspondence concerning this matter, please refer to CPF 3-2013-1008M and, for each document you submit, please provide a copy in electronic format whenever possible.

Sincerely,

David Barrett
Director, Central Region
Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

Enclosure: Response Options for Pipeline Operators in Compliance Proceedings

cc: David McAtee, Director, Pipeline Compliance and Integrity Services
Response Options for Pipeline Operators in Compliance Proceedings

The requirements of 49 C.F.R. Part 190, Subpart B (§§ 190.201–190.237) govern response to Notices issued by a Regional Director, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA).

Be advised that all material submitted by a respondent in response to an enforcement action is subject to being made publicly available. If you believe that any portion of your responsive material qualifies for confidential treatment under 5 U.S.C. 552(b), along with the complete original document you must provide a second copy of the document with the portions you believe qualify for confidential treatment redacted and an explanation of why you believe the redacted information qualifies for confidential treatment under 5 U.S.C. 552(b).

I. Procedures for Responding to a NOTICE OF PROBABLE VIOLATION:

Within 30 days of receipt of a Notice of Probable Violation, the respondent shall respond to the Regional Director who issued the Notice in the following way:

a. When the Notice contains a proposed CIVIL PENALTY*

1. If you are not contesting any violations alleged in the Notice, pay the proposed civil penalty and advise the Regional Director of the payment. This authorizes PHMSA to issue an order making findings of violation and upon confirmation that the payment has been received PHMSA will close the case with prejudice to the respondent. Payment terms are outlined below;

2. If you are not contesting any violations alleged in the Notice but wish to submit written explanations, information, or other materials you believe warrant mitigation of the civil penalty, you may submit such materials. This authorizes PHMSA to make findings and to issue a Final Order assessing a penalty amount up to the amount proposed in the Notice. Under 49 United States Code, § 60122, you are subject to a civil penalty not to exceed $200,000 per violation per day the violation persists up to a maximum of $2,000,000 for a related series of violations. For violations occurring prior to January 4, 2012, the maximum civil penalty may not exceed $100,000 per violation per day, with a maximum penalty not to exceed $1,000,000 for a related series of violations. Refer to 49 C.F.R. § 190.225 for assessment considerations upon which civil penalties are based;
3. If you are contesting one or more of the items in the Notice but are not requesting an oral hearing, submit a written response to the allegations and/or seek elimination or mitigation of the proposed civil penalty; or

4. Request a hearing as described below to contest the allegations and/or proposed assessment of a civil penalty.

b. **When the Notice contains a proposed COMPLIANCE ORDER**

   1. If you are not contesting the compliance order, notify the Regional Director that you intend to take the steps in the proposed compliance order;

   2. If you are not contesting the compliance order but wish to submit written explanations, information, or other materials you believe warrant modification of the proposed compliance order in whole or in part, or you seek clarification of the terms of the proposed compliance order, you may submit such materials. This authorizes PHMSA to make findings and issue a compliance order;

   3. If you are contesting the proposed compliance order but are not requesting an oral hearing, submit written explanations, information, or other materials in answer to the allegations in the Notice and stating your reasons for objecting to the proposed compliance order items in whole or in part; or

   4. Request a hearing as described below to contest the allegations and/or proposed compliance order items.

c. **When the Notice contains a WARNING ITEM**

   No written response is required. The respondent is warned that if it does not take appropriate action to correct these items, enforcement action will be taken if a subsequent inspection reveals a violation.

* Failure of the respondent to respond to the Notice within 30 days of receipt constitutes a waiver of the right to contest the allegations in the Notice and authorizes the Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety to find facts as alleged in the Notice without further notice to the respondent and to issue a Final Order.
II. **Procedures for Responding to a NOTICE OF AMENDMENT**

Within 30 days of receipt of a Notice of Amendment, the respondent shall respond to the Regional Director who issued the Notice in the following way:

a. If you are not contesting the Notice, notify the Regional Director of your plans to address the inadequacies identified in the Notice;

b. If you are not contesting the Notice but wish to submit written explanations, information, or other materials you believe warrant modification of the Notice of Amendment in whole or in part, or you seek clarification of the terms of the Notice of Amendment, you may submit such materials. This authorizes PHMSA to make findings and issue an Order Directing Amendment;

c. If you are contesting the Notice of Amendment but are not requesting an oral hearing, submit written explanations, information, or other materials in answer to the allegations in the Notice and stating your reasons for objecting to the Notice of Amendment items in whole or in part; or

d. Request a hearing as described below to contest the allegations in the Notice.

* Failure of the respondent to respond to the Notice within 30 days of receipt constitutes a waiver of the right to contest the allegations in the Notice and authorizes the Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety to find facts as alleged in the Notice without further notice to the respondent and to issue a Final Order.

III. **Procedure for Requesting a Hearing**

A request for a hearing must be in writing and accompanied by a statement of the issues that the respondent intends to raise at the hearing. The issues may relate to the allegations, new information, or to the proposed compliance order or proposed civil penalty amount. Refer to 49 C.F.R. § 190.225 for assessment considerations upon which civil penalties are based. A respondent's failure to specify an issue may result in waiver of the right to raise that issue at the hearing. The respondent's request must also indicate whether or not respondent will be represented by counsel at the hearing. Failure to request a hearing in writing within 30 days of receipt of a Notice waives the right to a hearing. In addition, if the amount of the proposed civil penalty or the proposed corrective action is less than $10,000, the hearing will be held by telephone, unless the respondent submits a written request for an in-person hearing. Complete hearing procedures can be found at 49 C.F.R. § 190.211.

IV. **Extensions of Time**

An extension of time to prepare an appropriate response to a Notice may be granted, at the agency's discretion, following submittal of a written request to the Regional Director. The request must indicate the amount of time needed and the reasons for the extension. The request must be submitted within 30 days of receipt of the Notice.
V. **Freedom of Information Act**

Any material provided to PHMSA by the respondent, and materials prepared by PHMSA including the Notice and any order issued in this case, may be considered public information and subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). If you believe the information you are providing is security sensitive, privileged, confidential or may cause your company competitive disadvantages, please clearly identify the material and provide justification why the documents, or portions of a document, should not be released under FOIA. If we receive a request for your material, we will notify you if PHMSA, after reviewing the materials and your provided justification, determines that withholding the materials does not meet any exemption provided under the FOIA. You may appeal the agency's decision to release material under the FOIA at that time. Your appeal will stay the release of those materials until a final decision is made.

VI. **The Rights of Small Entities To Enforcement Fairness and Policy Against Retaliation**

The Department of Transportation has a policy regarding the rights of small entities to regulatory enforcement fairness and an explicit policy against retaliation for exercising these rights. Our objective is to ensure a fair regulatory enforcement environment. If you feel you have been treated unfairly or unprofessionally, you may contact the PHMSA Office of Chief Counsel. You also have the right to contact the Small Business Administration’s National Ombudsman at 1-888-REGFAIR or www.sba.gov/ombudsman regarding the fairness of the compliance and enforcement activities of this agency.

The Department of Transportation strictly forbids retaliatory acts by its employees. As such, you should feel confident that you will not be penalized for expressing your concerns about compliance and enforcement activities.

VII. **Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act Information**

The Small Business and Agricultural Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and 10 Regional Fairness Boards were established to receive comments from small businesses about federal agency enforcement actions. The Ombudsman will annually evaluate the enforcement activities and rate each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on the enforcement actions of the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, call 1-888-REG-FAIR (1-888-734-3247) or go to http://www.sba.gov/ombudsman/dsp_faq.html.

VIII. **Payment Instructions**

*Civil Penalty Payments of Less Than $10,000*

Payment of a civil penalty of less than $10,000 proposed or assessed, under Subpart B of Part 190 of the Pipeline Safety Regulations can be made by certified check, money order or wire transfer. Payment by certified check or money order (containing the CPF Number
for this case) should be made payable to the "Department of Transportation" and should be sent to:

Federal Aviation Administration
Mike Monroney Aeronautical Center
Financial Operations Division (AMZ-341) P.O. Box 269039
Oklahoma City, OK 73125-4915

Wire transfer payments of less than $10,000 may be made through the Federal Reserve Communications System (Fedwire) to the account of the U.S. Treasury. Detailed instructions are provided below. Questions concerning wire transfer should be directed to the Financial Operations Division at (405) 954-8893, or at the above address.

Civil Penalty Payments of $10,000 or more

Payment of a civil penalty of $10,000 or more proposed or assessed under Subpart B of Part 190 of the Pipeline Safety Regulations must be made wire transfer (49 C.F.R. § 89.21 (b)(3)), through the Federal Reserve Communications System (Fedwire) to the account of the U.S. Treasury. Detailed instructions are provided below. Questions concerning wire transfers should be directed to the Financial Operations Division at (405) 954-8893, or at the above address.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Information Provided</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RECEIVER ABA NO.</td>
<td>&quot;021030004&quot;. Ensure the sending bank enters this 9-digit identification number; it represents the routing symbol for the U.S. Treasury at the Federal Reserve Bank in New York.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AMOUNT</td>
<td>You as the sender provide the amount of the transfer. Please be sure the transfer amount is punctuated with commas and a decimal point. <strong>EXAMPLE:</strong> $10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>RECEIVER NAME</td>
<td>&quot;TREAS NYC&quot;. Ensure the sending bank enters this abbreviation. It must be used for all wire transfers to the Treasury Department.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>BENEFICIAL (BNF) = AGENCY LOCATION CODE</td>
<td>&quot;69140001&quot;. Ensure the sending bank enters this information. This is the Agency Location Code for the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, Department of Transportation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>REASONS FOR PAYMENT</td>
<td>“AC-payment for PHMSA Case # / To ensure your wire transfer is credited properly, enter the case number/ticket number or Pipeline Assessment number, and country.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

You, as sender of the wire transfer, must provide the sending bank with the information for blocks (1), (5), (7), (9), and (10). The information provided in Blocks (1), (7), and (9) are constant and remain the same for all wire transfers to the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, Department of Transportation.

February 7, 2013