NOTICE OF PROBABLE VIOLATION
and
PROPOSED COMPLIANCE ORDER

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

December 28, 2012

Timothy Barnhart
VP of Operations
Calumet Specialty Products Partners, LP
2780 Waterfront Parkway East Drive, Suite 200
Indianapolis, IN 46214

CPF 3-2012-6003

Dear Mr. Barnhart:

On April 4 through April 5, 2011, a representative of the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) Central Region pursuant to Chapter 601 of 49 United States Code inspected the Pipeline Integrity Management Program (IMP) of Murphy Oil USA (Murphy) in Superior, Wisconsin. Subsequent to the inspection, Calumet Specialty Products Partners, LP (Calumet) acquired Murphy Oil's refinery and associated assets (including a PHMSA-regulated pipeline that is the subject of this Notice) on September 30, 2011.

As a result of the inspection, it appears that you have committed probable violations of the Pipeline Safety Regulations, Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations. The items inspected and the probable violation(s) are:

1. §195.452 Pipeline integrity management in high consequence areas.

(f) What are the elements of an integrity management program? An integrity management program begins with the initial framework. An operator must
continually change the program to reflect operating experience, conclusions drawn from results of the integrity assessments, and other maintenance and surveillance data, and evaluation of consequences of a failure on the high consequence area. An operator must include, at minimum, each of the following elements in its written integrity management program:

(1) A process for identifying which pipeline segments could affect a high consequence area;

Murphy was not able to demonstrate that they had identified all could affect HCA’s. The IMP addresses the overland transport distances for only two points along the pipeline. On the south end near the refinery there is a 1500 foot estimate of spill coverage and at Murphy West, a 6000 feet spill coverage area. The IMP lacks specificity to what particular direction or area this coverage would occur. There was no map showing the release on overland transport, water transport (including storm and sewer drainage) or combination overland and water transport for all areas along this line. There is potential for the spill volumes to be increased in some circumstances from the draining down of the breakout tanks. These tanks were not addressed in the IMP.

2. §195.452 Pipeline integrity management in high consequence areas.

(i) What preventive and mitigative measures must an operator take to protect the high consequence area?

(3) Leak detection. An operator must have a means to detect leaks on its pipeline system. An operator must evaluate the capability of its leak detection means and modify, as necessary, to protect the high consequence area. An operator's evaluation must, at least, consider, the following factors—length and size of the pipeline, type of product carried, the pipeline's proximity to the high consequence area, the swiftness of leak detection, location of nearest response personnel, leak history, and risk assessment results.

Murphy did not perform an adequate evaluation of its leak detection system. The leak detection system was designed to signal a leak when the pipeline pressure gauge at Murphy West reached a pressure of 10 psig.

3. §195.452 Pipeline integrity management in high consequence areas.

(i) What preventive and mitigative measures must an operator take to protect the high consequence area?

(4) Emergency Flow Restricting Devices (EFRD). If an operator determines that an EFRD is needed on a pipeline segment to protect a high consequence area in the event of a hazardous liquid pipeline release, an operator must install the EFRD. In making this determination, an operator must, at least, consider the following factors—the swiftness of leak detection and pipeline shutdown capabilities, the type
of commodity carried, the rate of potential leakage, the volume that can be released, topography or pipeline profile, the potential for ignition, proximity to power sources, location of nearest response personnel, specific terrain between the pipeline segment and the high consequence area, and benefits expected by reducing the spill size.

Murphy Oil had not adequately completed a comprehensive study on the potential benefits of installing EFRDs. There had been a study on EFRD for applying check valves, however, since the line is bi-directional check valves are not practical. In addition, there had been no review on the potential benefits of installing other remotely controlled valves. Also, the study did not address the breakout tanks and the effects they might have in contributing to the spill quantities.

4. §195.452 Pipeline integrity management in high consequence areas.

(j) What is a continual process of evaluation and assessment to maintain a pipeline's integrity?

(2) Evaluation. An operator must conduct a periodic evaluation as frequently as needed to assure pipeline integrity. An operator must base the frequency of evaluation on risk factors specific to its pipeline, including the factors specified in paragraph (e) of this section. The evaluation must consider the results of the baseline and periodic integrity assessments, information analysis (paragraph (g) of this section), and decisions about remediation, and preventive and mitigative actions (paragraphs (h) and (i) of this section).

Murphy had not performed an adequate evaluation to assure pipeline integrity when hydrostatic testing is used as the sole assessment method. An evaluation should address corrosion, third party damage and other risk factors.

5. §195.452 Pipeline integrity management in high consequence areas.

(k) What methods to measure program effectiveness must be used? An operator's program must include methods to measure whether the program is effective in assessing and evaluating the integrity of each pipeline segment and in protecting the high consequence areas.

Murphy had not developed methods or measured the effectiveness of their IMP program in assessing and evaluating the integrity of each pipeline segment and in protecting the high consequence areas.

**Proposed Compliance Order**

With respect to items 1- 5 pursuant to 49 United States Code § 60118, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration proposes to issue a Compliance Order to Calumet
Specialty Products Partners. Please refer to the *Proposed Compliance Order*, which is enclosed and made a part of this Notice.

**Response to this Notice**

Enclosed as part of this Notice is a document entitled *Response Options for Pipeline Operators in Compliance Proceedings*. Please refer to this document and note the response options. Be advised that all material you submit in response to this enforcement action is subject to being made publicly available. If you believe that any portion of your responsive material qualifies for confidential treatment under 5 U.S.C. 552(b), along with the complete original document you must provide a second copy of the document with the portions you believe qualify for confidential treatment redacted and an explanation of why you believe the redacted information qualifies for confidential treatment under 5 U.S.C. 552(b). If you do not respond within 30 days of receipt of this Notice, this constitutes a waiver of your right to contest the allegations in this Notice and authorizes the Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety to find facts as alleged in this Notice without further notice to you and to issue a Final Order.

In your correspondence on this matter, please refer to **CPF 3-2012-6003** and for each document you submit, please provide a copy in electronic format whenever possible.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

David Barrett  
Director, Central Region  
Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

Enclosure: *Proposed Compliance Order*  
*Response Options for Pipeline Operators in Compliance Proceedings*
PROPOSED COMPLIANCE ORDER

Pursuant to 49 United States Code § 60118, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) proposes to issue to Calumet Superior, LLC (Calumet) a Compliance Order incorporating the following remedial requirements to ensure the compliance of Calumet with the pipeline safety regulations:

1. In regard to Item Number 1 of the Notice pertaining to identifying all could affect HCA’s. The IMP addresses the overland transport distances for only two points along the pipeline. Calumet shall determine all could affect HCA’s along the entire pipeline route. This includes taking into account ground slope, ditches, storm sewers etc. and leak volumes determined along the ROW and tank farm effects. Maps shall be developed to show overland transport, water transport or combination overland and water transport for all areas along this line.

2. In regard to Item Number 2 of the Notice pertaining to the ineffective evaluation of leak detection of the pipeline system. Calumet shall develop a plan to evaluate and improve the leak detection system and schedule for implementation.

3. In regard to Item Number 3 of the Notice pertaining to completing a comprehensive study on the potential benefits of installing EFRDs. Calumet shall review the potential benefits of installing other remotely controlled valves. The study should also include breakout tanks and the effects they might have in contributing to the spill quantities.

4. In regard to Item Number 4 of the Notice pertaining to adequate evaluations for assuring pipeline integrity. Calumet shall perform evaluations to address threats on their pipeline and propose additional preventative and mitigative actions.

5. In regard to Item Number 5 of the Notice pertaining to measuring the program’s effectiveness. Calumet shall develop procedures to measure the program’s effectiveness. Once developed, Calumet shall apply the metrics to determine if additional actions should be taken to ensure the integrity of the applicable pipeline segments.

6. Calumet shall submit a plan and schedule to perform the compliance requirements above within 30 days of receipt of the Final Order. This plan will require approval of the Regional Director.

7. It is requested that Calumet maintain documentation of the safety improvement costs associated with fulfilling this Compliance Order and submit the total to David Barrett, Director, Central Region, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration. It is requested that these costs be reported in two categories: 1) total cost associated with preparation/revision of plans, procedures, studies and analyses, and 2) total cost associated with replacements, additions and other changes to pipeline infrastructure.
Response Options for Pipeline Operators in Compliance Proceedings

The requirements of 49 C.F.R. Part 190, Subpart B (§§ 190.201–190.237) govern response to Notices issued by a Regional Director, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA).

Be advised that all material submitted by a respondent in response to an enforcement action is subject to being made publicly available. If you believe that any portion of your responsive material qualifies for confidential treatment under 5 U.S.C. 552(b), along with the complete original document you must provide a second copy of the document with the portions you believe qualify for confidential treatment redacted and an explanation of why you believe the redacted information qualifies for confidential treatment under 5 U.S.C. 552(b).

I. Procedures for Responding to a NOTICE OF PROBABILE VIOLATION:

Within 30 days of receipt of a Notice of Probable Violation, the respondent shall respond to the Regional Director who issued the Notice in the following way:

a. When the Notice contains a proposed CIVIL PENALTY* --

1. If you are not contesting any violations alleged in the Notice, pay the proposed civil penalty and advise the Regional Director of the payment. This authorizes PHMSA to issue an order making findings of violation and upon confirmation that the payment has been received PHMSA will close the case with prejudice to the respondent. Payment terms are outlined below;

2. If you are not contesting any violations alleged in the Notice but wish to submit written explanations, information, or other materials you believe warrant mitigation of the civil penalty, you may submit such materials. This authorizes PHMSA to make findings and to issue a Final Order assessing a penalty amount up to the amount proposed in the Notice. Refer to 49 C.F.R. § 190.225 for assessment considerations, which include the respondent’s ability to pay and the effect on the respondent’s ability to stay in business, upon which civil penalties are based;

3. If you are contesting one or more of the items in the Notice but are not requesting an oral hearing, submit a written response to the allegations and/or seek elimination or mitigation of the proposed civil penalty; or

4. Request a hearing as described below to contest the allegations and/or proposed assessment of a civil penalty.
b. **When the Notice contains a proposed COMPLIANCE ORDER**

1. If you are not contesting the compliance order, notify the Regional Director that you intend to take the steps in the proposed compliance order;

2. If you are not contesting the compliance order but wish to submit written explanations, information, or other materials you believe warrant modification of the proposed compliance order in whole or in part, or you seek clarification of the terms of the proposed compliance order, you may submit such materials. This allows PHMSA to make findings and issue a compliance order;

3. If you are contesting the proposed compliance order but are not requesting an oral hearing, submit written explanations, information, or other materials in answer to the allegations in the Notice and stating your reasons for objecting to the proposed compliance order items in whole or in part; or

4. Request a hearing as described below to contest the allegations and/or proposed compliance order items.

c. **When the Notice contains a WARNING ITEM**

No written response is required. The respondent is warned that if it does not take appropriate action to correct these items, enforcement action will be taken if a subsequent inspection reveals a violation.

* Failure of the respondent to respond to the Notice within 30 days of receipt constitutes a waiver of the right to contest the allegations in the Notice and authorizes the Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety to find facts as alleged in the Notice without further notice to the respondent and to issue a Final Order.

II. **Procedures for Responding to a NOTICE OF AMENDMENT**

Within 30 days of receipt of a Notice of Amendment, the respondent shall respond to the Regional Director who issued the Notice in the following way:

- a. If you are not contesting the Notice, notify the Regional Director of your plans to address the inadequacies identified in the Notice;

- b. If you are not contesting the Notice but wish to submit written explanations, information, or other materials you believe warrant modification of the Notice of Amendment in whole or in part, or you seek clarification of the terms of the
Notice of Amendment, you may submit such materials. This authorizes
PHMSA to make findings and issue an Order Directing Amendment;

c. If you are contesting the Notice of Amendment but are not requesting an oral
hearing, submit written explanations, information, or other materials in answer
to the allegations in the Notice and stating your reasons for objecting to the
Notice of Amendment items in whole or in part; or

d. Request a hearing as described below to contest the allegations in the Notice.

* Failure of the respondent to respond to the Notice within 30 days of receipt
constitutes a waiver of the right to contest the allegations in the Notice and authorizes
the Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety to find facts as alleged in the Notice
without further notice to the respondent and to issue a Final Order.

III. Procedure for Requesting a Hearing

A request for a hearing must be in writing and accompanied by a statement of the issues
that the respondent intends to raise at the hearing. The issues may relate to the
allegations, new information, or to the proposed compliance order or proposed civil
penalty amount. Refer to 49 C.F.R. § 190.225 for assessment considerations upon which
civil penalties are based. A respondent's failure to specify an issue may result in waiver
of the right to raise that issue at the hearing. The respondent's request must also indicate
whether or not respondent will be represented by counsel at the hearing. Failure to
request a hearing in writing within 30 days of receipt of a Notice waives the right to a
hearing. In addition, if the amount of the proposed civil penalty or the proposed
corrective action is less than $10,000, the hearing will be held by telephone, unless the
respondent submits a written request for an in-person hearing. Complete hearing
procedures can be found at 49 C.F.R. § 190.211.

IV. Extensions of Time

An extension of time to prepare an appropriate response to a Notice may be granted, at
the agency's discretion, following submittal of a written request to the Regional Director.
The request must indicate the amount of time needed and the reasons for the extension.
The request must be submitted within 30 days of receipt of the Notice.

V. Freedom of Information Act

Any material provided to PHMSA by the respondent, and materials prepared by PHMSA
including the Notice and any order issued in this case, may be considered public
information and subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). If
you believe the information you are providing is security sensitive, privileged,
confidential or may cause your company competitive disadvantages, please clearly
identify the material and provide justification why the documents, or portions of a
document, should not be released under FOIA. If we receive a request for your material,
we will notify you if PHMSA, after reviewing the materials and your provided
justification, determines that withholding the materials does not meet any exemption
provided under the FOIA. You may appeal the agency's decision to release material under the FOIA at that time. Your appeal will stay the release of those materials until a final decision is made.

VI. **Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act Information**
The Small Business and Agricultural Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and 10 Regional Fairness Boards were established to receive comments from small businesses about federal agency enforcement actions. The Ombudsman will annually evaluate the enforcement activities and rate each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on the enforcement actions of the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, call 1-888-REG-FAIR (1-888-734-3247) or go to http://www.sba.gov/ombudsman/dsp_faq.html.

VII. **Payment Instructions**

**Civil Penalty Payments of Less Than $10,000**

Payment of a civil penalty of less than $10,000 proposed or assessed, under Subpart B of Part 190 of the Pipeline Safety Regulations can be made by certified check, money order or wire transfer. Payment by certified check or money order (containing the CPF Number for this case) should be made payable to the "Department of Transportation" and should be sent to:

Federal Aviation Administration  
Mike Monroney Aeronautical Center  
Financial Operations Division (AMZ-341) P.O. Box 269039  
Oklahoma City, OK 73125-4915

Wire transfer payments of less than $10,000 may be made through the Federal Reserve Communications System (Fedwire) to the account of the U.S. Treasury. Detailed instructions are provided below. Questions concerning wire transfer should be directed to the Financial Operations Division at (405) 954-8893, or at the above address.

**Civil Penalty Payments of $10,000 or more**

Payment of a civil penalty of $10,000 or more proposed or assessed under Subpart B of Part 190 of the Pipeline Safety Regulations must be made wire transfer (49 C.F.R. § 89.21 (b)(3)), through the Federal Reserve Communications System (Fedwire) to the account of the U.S. Treasury. Detailed instructions are provided below. Questions concerning wire transfers should be directed to the Financial Operations Division at (405) 954-8893, or at the above address.
### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ELECTRONIC FUND TRANSFERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) RECEIVER ABA NO.</th>
<th>(2) TYPE/SUB-TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>021030004</td>
<td>(Provided by sending bank)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(3) SENDING BANK ABA NO.</th>
<th>(4) SENDING BANK REF NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Provided by sending bank)</td>
<td>(Provided by sending bank)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(5) AMOUNT</th>
<th>(6) SENDING BANK NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Provided by sending bank)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(7) RECEIVER NAME</th>
<th>(8) PRODUCT CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TREAS NYC</td>
<td>(Normally CTR, or as provided by sending bank)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(9) BENEFICIAL (BNF) = AGENCY LOCATION CODE</th>
<th>(10) REASONS FOR PAYMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BNF = /ALC-69-14-0001</td>
<td>Example: PHMSA - CPF # / Ticket Number/Pipeline Assessment number</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### INSTRUCTIONS: You, as sender of the wire transfer, must provide the sending bank with the information for blocks (1), (5), (7), (9), and (10). The information provided in Blocks (1), (7), and (9) are constant and remain the same for all wire transfers to the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, Department of Transportation.

**Block #1** - RECEIVER ABA NO. - "021030004". Ensure the sending bank enters this 9-digit identification number; it represents the routing symbol for the U.S. Treasury at the Federal Reserve Bank in New York.

**Block #5** - AMOUNT - You as the sender provide the amount of the transfer. Please be sure the transfer amount is punctuated with commas and a decimal point. **EXAMPLE: $10,000.00**

**Block #7** - RECEIVER NAME - "TREAS NYC". Ensure the sending bank enters this abbreviation. It must be used for all wire transfers to the Treasury Department.

**Block #9** - BENEFICIAL - AGENCY LOCATION CODE - "BNF=/ALC-69-14-0001". Ensure the sending bank enters this information. This is the Agency Location Code for the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, Department of Transportation.

**Block #10** - REASON FOR PAYMENT - “AC-payment for PHMSA Case # / To ensure your wire transfer is credited properly, enter the case number/ticket number or Pipeline Assessment number, and country.”

**NOTE:** A wire transfer must comply with the format and instructions or the Department cannot accept the wire transfer. You as the sender can assist this process by notifying the Financial Operations Division (405) 954-8893 at the time you send the wire transfer.

February 2009