SEP 16 2002

Mr. John Godfrey
Operational Excellence Leader
Colonial Pipeline Company
1185 Sanctuary Parkway, Suite 100
Alpharetta, GA 30009-4738

Re: CPF No. 2-2002-5010M

Dear Mr. Godfrey:

Enclosed is the Order Directing Amendment issued by the Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety in the above-referenced case. The Order finds that you have addressed the inadequacies in your procedures that were cited in the Notice of Amendment. This enforcement action is closed. Your receipt of the Order Directing Amendment constitutes service of that document under 49 C.F.R. § 190.5.

Sincerely,

Gwendolyn M. Hill
Pipeline Compliance Registry
Office of Pipeline Safety

Enclosure

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
RESEARCH AND SPECIAL PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATION
OFFICE OF PIPELINE SAFETY
WASHINGTON, DC 20590

In the Matter of
Colonial Pipeline Company, CPF No. 2-2002-5010M
Respondent.

ORDER DIRECTING AMENDMENT

On March 12-13, 2002, pursuant to 49 U.S.C. § 60117, representatives of the Eastern and Southern Regions, Office of Pipeline Safety (OPS), inspected Colonial Pipeline Company's (Respondent's) integrity management program at Respondent's facility in Alpharetta, Georgia. As a result of the inspection, the Southern Regional Director, OPS, issued to Respondent, by letter dated May 6, 2002, a Notice of Amendment (NOA). The NOA alleged inadequacies in Respondent's integrity management program and proposed to require amendment of Respondent's procedures to comply with the requirements of 49 C.F.R. § 195.452(b).

Respondent responded to the NOA by letter dated June 3, 2002. Respondent did not contest the NOA and did not request a hearing; consequently, Respondent waived its right to one. However, Respondent submitted amended integrity management program procedures. The Southern Region reviewed the revised procedures.

Accordingly, based on the results of this review, I find that Respondent's original integrity management program procedures as described in the NOA were inadequate to ensure safe operation of its pipeline system, but that Respondent has corrected the identified inadequacies. No further enforcement action is anticipated with respect to the matters in this case.

The terms and conditions of this Order Directing Amendment are effective upon receipt.

Stacey Gerard
Associate Administrator
for Pipeline Safety
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