Question Change Tracking Introduction

This new feature in IA Desktop (introduced in IA Desktop version 4.21.0) allows inspectors to better understand when a question has recently been added or updated within a PRS question set.

The below glyphs, added to the planning tab and inspection tab, denote that a question has been recently added or updated. New questions are marked with a plus sign glyph and updated questions are marked with an arrow glyph:



New question

Updated question

On the inspection tab, these glyphs will appear to the left of the question, below its question number and P,R,O,S question type. This is highlighted in red below.



Note that to view the glyphs on the planning tab, you must expand the planning grid to view all questions.

Design and Construction (DC)
Compressor Station Construction (DC.COCMP)
1. Are flammable/combustible materials stored as required and aboveground oil or gasoline storage tanks installed at compressor stations protected in accordance with NFPA No. 30, as
2. Does the process include requirements for the storage of flammable/combustible materials and specify that aboveground oil or gasoline storage tanks being installed at compressor st
3. As applicable to the project, are flammable/combustible materials stored as required and aboveground oil or gasoline storage tanks installed at compressor stations protected in accorr
4. Does the process specify that compressor buildings have a fixed gas detection and alarm system? (192.303) DC.COCMPCMPGASDETECT.P
5. Are gas detection and alarm systems being installed in applicable compressor buildings? (192.736(a)) DC.COCMP.CMPGASDETECT.R
6. Are gas detection and alarm systems installed in applicable compressor buildings? (192.736(a)) DC.COCMPCMPGASDETECT.O
Construction (DC.CO)
2 1. Does the process require railroad or highway casings to be in accordance with the requirements of 192.323? (192.303) DC.CO.CASING.P
2 2. Do field observations confirm railroad or highway casings are being installed as designed and specified, and in accordance with 192.323? (192.323) DC.CO.CASING.O
3. Does the process require that pipeline joints (to be made other than by welding) be designed and installed in accordance with 192.273? (192.303) DC.CO.NONWELDJOINT.P

You may hover over these glyphs to reveal information as to when that question was added or most recently modified



Clicking an arrow/updated glyph will open the "Question Comparison" window, which will allow you to review the historical changes for that question (note that you must have a stable internet connection to use this "Question Comparison" feature). When this window opens, at the left you will see an option to view the question as it appears in the current inspection as well as the question's prior revision history via a list of question sets in which that question was modified. Click any available question set in the history list to compare that previous version of the question to the question as it appears in the current inspection.

For example, the below inspection is using the GT.2020.02 question set. From the question history list, GT.2017.01 is selected. The green underlined text indicates verbiage that has been added between GT.2017.01 and GT.2020.02. Text that has been removed between GT.2017.01 and GT.2020.02 is struck through in red.

Current

GT.2020.02 v16.0.1 Released 2020-06-25

History

G T.2017.01 v9.0.0 Released 2017-04-20

GT.2016.01 v7.0.0 Released 2015-12-31

GT.2015.01 v6.0.0 Released 2014-12-31

Question Comparison

INTEGRITY ASSESSMENTS THAT WERE NOT PERFORMED AS SCHEDULED OR WITHIN REQUIRED TIMEFRAMES Do records indicate that the performance of integrity assessments has been delayed and integrity assessment delays have been justified? AR.IL.ILIDELAY.R - 192.947(d) (192.909(a);192.909(b);192.943(a);192.943(b);190.341;192.18)

1. Verify that changes to scheduled integrity assessments did not jeopardize the risk based scheduling of an assessment of the integrity of the pipeline segment. 1. Verify that changes to scheduled integrity assessments did not jeopardize the risk-based scheduling of an assessment of the integrity of the pipeline segment.

Verify that the operator documented the justification for the delay of the performance of an integrity assessment.
If operator seeks a waiver (special permit per 190.341) of the requirement for the re assessment interval based on a lack of internal inspection tools or to maintain product supply, verify that the waiver (special permit per 190.341) was sought at least 180 days before the end of the required reassessment interval, unless local product supply issues made the period impracticable.
As applicable, was notification to regulatory agencies made within 30 days after the delay was incorporated into the IM program? [192.909(b), 192.18(a)-(b)]

4. If operator seeks a waiver (special permit per 190.341) of the requirement for the re-assessment interval based on a lack of internal inspection tools or to maintain product supply, verify that the waiver (special permit per 190.341) was sought at least 180 days before the end of the required reassessment interval, unless local product supply issues made the period impracticable.

Additional Information

Question set used in this inspection

Comparing updates to AR.IL.ILIDELAY.R in GT.2020.02 (v16.0.1). Found historical question in GT.2017.01 (v9.0.0).

Question set we're comparing to, selected in the History list at left

Viewing question history is not limited to just those that are marked as being recently added or updated. To view the history of any question, simply hover over the question in the Inspection tab, and click the small "clock" icon that appears to the left of the question underneath its number and P, R, O, S type:



View history for any question