



U.S. Department
of Transportation
**Pipeline and Hazardous
Materials Safety
Administration**

1200 New Jersey Avenue SE
Washington DC 20590

PIPELINE SAFETY

2011 State Damage Prevention Grant

for

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Please follow the directions listed below:

1. Review the entire document for completeness.
2. Review and have an authorized signatory sign page 2.
3. Fasten all pages with a paper or binder clip - no staples please as this package will be scanned upon it's arrival at PHMSA.
4. Mail the entire document, including this cover page to the following:

**ATTN: Maria Munoz
U.S. Department of Transportation
Pipeline & Hazardous Materials Safety Administration
Office of Contracts and Procurement (PHA-30)
1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE Second Floor E22-305
Washington, D.C. 20590**

FedSTAR Information

Submission Date: 9/7/2010 12:32:30 PM



Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration
1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE
Washington DC 20590

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

APPLICATION

2011 GRANT PROGRAM IN SUPPORT OF STATE DAMAGE PREVENTION

The MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION hereby applies to the Department of Transportation for Federal funds appropriated for the support of State Damage Prevention Programs established under 49 U.S.C. Section 60134 et seq.

The State agency plans to carry out the State Damage Prevention Program, during calendar year 2011, as described in Attachment 1, "Project Abstract/Statement of Objectives". To accomplish the program, the state agency proposes to expend funds as set forth in Attachment 4, "State Damage Prevention Estimated Budget".


Signature

Title

Date

Project Abstract/Statement of Objectives

Please provide a clear and concise description of the work this grant will fund for calendar year 2011



This grant will fund the continuation of one statewide Damage Prevention Coordinator. Duties will include: 1) Facilitating the implementation of a statewide damage prevention organizational structure (MISS DIG Educational Committee); 2) Coordinating and implementing field audits with MITA and pipeline operators at excavation sites covering all regions in the State of Michigan; 3) Continuing the development of Damage Prevention Associations in the State of Michigan; 4) Providing financial support for every Damage Prevention Association in the State of Michigan to enable continued education of safe digging practices; 5) Coordinating Damage Prevention Seminars; 6) Fostering increased municipal membership and university membership in MISS DIG in an effort to encourage municipalities and universities to actively participate in order to reduce underground damages. This grant will address Elements 2 and 4.

State Damage Prevention Elements

ELEMENT 1 - EFFECTIVE COMMUNICATIONS

"Participation by operators, excavators, and other stakeholders in the development and implementation of methods for establishing and maintaining effective communications between stakeholders from receipt of an excavation notification until successful completion of the excavation, as appropriate."

Does the proposed project address this element? (Required) No

Describe any existing state initiatives that support this element: (Required)

MISS DIG System, Inc. began as a joint effort between the major utilities and has been operational for over 35 years. Since that time, other damage prevention stakeholders have been added to the Board of Directors of MISS DIG. The Board currently has members representing utilities, locators, municipalities, excavators and design/engineering firms. The bylaws allow for the addition of other stakeholders, as necessary.


The excavator contacts MISS DIG via phone or Web Ticket Entry. MISS DIG assigns a ticket number and obtains all pertinent information (including location, scope, longitude-latitude, ongoing project, boring, etc). The ticket is then sent via computer or fax to the identified member utilities to mark the facilities. Positive Response has been implemented on a voluntary basis and is being used by many member utilities. The positive response can be viewed via the MISS DIG website. The MISS DIG system also established the contractor assistance program (CAP).

The State of Michigan has many stakeholders serving on various national and state damage prevention committees. Most notably the CGA Data Reporting and Evaluation Committee, Regional Partners Committee, Best Practices and CGA Educational, Marketing & Communications Committee. There are eight local Damage Prevention Associations (DPA's) throughout the state with representation from all stakeholders. MIOSHA has also partnered with the DPA's by providing training on safe excavation practices.

The Michigan Damage Prevention Board (MDPB) is the regional partner of CGA. It is made up of representatives from gas, electric, and phone companies along with excavators (represented by Michigan Infrastructure and Transportation Assoc), municipalities, MPSC, MISS DIG, and locators. The MDPB has established the Michigan Best Practices to clarify gray areas within PA53 and is currently working on revising PA 53.

The eight local Damage Prevention Associations (DPA's) provide a local forum for contractor, locator, MISS DIG and utility representatives to meet and discuss damage prevention issues. These meetings are held monthly and/or annually. Topics at these forums include: education of the MISS DIG One Call processes, locating practices, damage reporting, "what have we learned", and safety training. Excavator seminars are held throughout the state, hosted by the DPA's or MISS DIG.

The range of membership in the Common Ground Alliance (CGA), Michigan Damage Prevention Board (MDPB), MISS DIG Board and local damage prevention associations shows the participation of the state's operators, excavators, MPSC and governmental officials in the activities associated with this element.



ELEMENT 2 - COMPREHENSIVE STAKEHOLDER SUPPORT

"A process for fostering and ensuring the support and partnership of stakeholders, including excavators, operators, locators, designers, and local government in all phases of the program."

Does the proposed project address this element? (Required) Yes

Describe any existing state initiatives that support this element: (Required)

As noted in Element 1, the MDPB, MISS DIG Board and DPA's allow for the support and partnership of all stakeholders.

The MPSC, in collaboration with the MDPB, received a grant award in 2010 for \$100,000. Due to a variety of issues, including the requirement for the State Legislature to approve this additional funding as a supplemental appropriation, this funding will not be released until September 14, 2010. We have received an extension for use of this grant through March 31, 2011.

In preparation for the release of this funding next week, one full-time Statewide Damage Prevention Coordinator will begin work on September 15, 2010. Duties will include: 1) Facilitating the implementation of a statewide damage prevention organizational structure (MISS DIG Educational Committee); 2) Developing a program to perform field audits at excavation sites covering all regions in the State of Michigan; 3) Fostering increased municipal membership in the MISS DIG System in an effort to encourage municipalities to actively participate in order to reduce underground damages.

Describe how the proposed project will enhance or continue implementation of this element: (Required only if proposal addresses this element)

The Statewide Coordinator will enhance this element by bringing expertise and continuity to the state damage prevention program.

This grant will fund the continuation of one statewide Damage Prevention Coordinator. Duties will include: 1) Facilitating the implementation of a statewide damage prevention organizational structure (MISS DIG Educational Committee); 2) Coordinating and implementing field audits with MITA and pipeline operators at excavation sites covering all regions in the State of Michigan; 3) Continuing the development of Damage Prevention Associations in the State of Michigan; 4) Providing financial support for every Damage Prevention Association in the State of Michigan to enable continued education of safe digging practices; 5) Coordinating Damage Prevention Seminars; 6) Fostering increased municipal membership and university membership in MISS DIG in an effort to encourage municipalities and universities to actively participate in order to reduce underground damages.

Estimated budget for this element: (Required only if proposal addresses this element)

a. Personnel:	\$0.00
b. Fringe Benefits:	\$0.00
c. Travel:	\$0.00
d. Equipment:	\$0.00
e. Supplies:	\$3,500.00
f. Contractual:	\$42,000.00
g. Construction:	\$0.00
h. Other:	\$0.00
i. Total Direct Charges (sum of a through h):	\$45,500.00
j. Indirect Charges:	\$0.00
k. TOTAL (sum of i and j):	\$45,500.00

Budget Narrative for this element: (Required only if proposal addresses this element)

Contractual agreement with Statewide coordinator will include travel expenses. New equipment will not be needed. Supplies will include 12,000 Digging Safely pamphlets @ 25 cents each for \$3000; and 130 Travel Mugs with MISS DIG information for \$500.

ELEMENT 3 - OPERATOR INTERNAL PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT

"A process for reviewing the adequacy of a pipeline operator's internal performance measures regarding persons performing locating services and quality assurance programs."

Does the proposed project address this element? (Required) No

Describe any existing state initiatives that support this element: (Required)

Adoption Status: Taking steps to adopt

MISS DIG is working to implement a process to collect virtual private DIRT from all stakeholders involved in damage prevention. This data will support the development of statewide measures for all operators regarding locating services and quality assurance programs.

ELEMENT 4 - EFFECTIVE EMPLOYEE TRAINING

"Participation by operators, excavators, and other stakeholders in the development and implementation of effective employee training programs to ensure that operators, the one call center, the enforcing agency, and the excavators have partnered to design and implement training for the employees of operators, excavators, and locators."

Does the proposed project address this element? (Required) Yes

Describe any existing state initiatives that support this element: (Required)

The following items illustrate how various stakeholders have participated in the development and implementation of employee training programs:

- ? MITA and Consumers Energy have teamed to develop a damage prevention safety program.
- ? Gas operators partner with MISS DIG to send out the Excavators Education Program on damage prevention on an annual basis.
- ? The local DPA's sponsor annual damage prevention seminars to provide attendees with information on damage prevention/ MISS DIG procedures. MIOSHA has partnered with the DPA's to provide Excavator Education.
- ? "Digging Dangers" and "Hooked on Safety" videos (provided by One-Call grants and MISS DIG) are presented at DPA meetings.
- ?

Describe how the proposed project will enhance or continue implementation of this element:

Describe how the proposed project will enhance or continue implementation of this element: (Required only if proposal addresses this element)

The funding of the continuation of a Statewide Damage Prevention Coordinator will allow the implementation of the plans put in place in 2010 and the first quarter of 2011. This will include 1) Coordinating and implementing field audits with MITA and pipeline operators at various excavation sites covering all regions in the State of Michigan; 3) Continuing the development of Damage Prevention Associations in the State of Michigan; 4) Providing financial support for every Damage Prevention Association in the State of Michigan to enable continued education of safe digging practices; 5) Coordinating Damage Prevention/ Excavator Education Seminars.

Estimated budget for this element: (Required only if proposal addresses this element)

a. Personnel:	\$0.00
b. Fringe Benefits:	\$0.00
c. Travel:	\$0.00
d. Equipment:	\$0.00
e. Supplies:	\$3,000.00
f. Contractual:	\$12,000.00
g. Construction:	\$0.00
h. Other:	\$23,100.00
i. Total Direct Charges (sum of a through h):	\$38,100.00
j. Indirect Charges:	\$0.00
k. TOTAL (sum of i and j):	\$38,100.00

Budget Narrative for this element: (Required only if proposal addresses this element)

Other: Excavator Seminars held at key locations around the state @ approximately \$2,230 each for 8 or 9 locations. Educational items (see Element 2); 200 safety glasses @ \$6.08 each; 185 prs work gloves with MISS DIG message @ \$9.68 each. PLUS \$12K for 2011 field audits by MDPB Stakeholders. Breakdown includes 20 excavation site audits per month for 12 months at \$50/audit = \$12,000.

ELEMENT 5 - PUBLIC EDUCATION

"A process for fostering and ensuring active participation by all stakeholders in public education for damage prevention activities."

Does the proposed project address this element? (Required) No

Describe any existing state initiatives that support this element: (Required)

The Raise the Flags committee has had participation in the past by Consumers Energy, DTE, Michcon and Ameritech. Cable companies and MITA have donated public service announcements and ad space in magazines.

The Miss Dig Board has established a Public Education Committee to foster participation of all stakeholders in the design and implementation of efforts to increase public awareness of damage prevention.

The Miss Dig Public Education Committee was also established to foster participation of all stakeholders in the design and implementation of efforts to provide education to employees involved in the damage prevention process. The Public Education Committee has collaborated with MITA's Superconference's in 3 areas of the State to help educate and train excavators.

ELEMENT 6 - DISPUTE RESOLUTION

"A process for resolving disputes that defines the State authority's role as a partner and facilitator to resolve issues."

Does the proposed project address this element? (Required) No

Describe any existing state initiatives that support this element: (Required)

Dispute resolution is on a case by case basis between a damaging party and the facility owner. If no resolution is determined, then the process in the State of Michigan is to bring disputes involving PA 53 before the courts for resolution. Outside of the court system, there is no State authority or mediation process for resolving disputes.

The Michigan Damage Prevention Board has almost completed a proposed revision to PA 53 that will incorporate a process for a State Authority to enforce PA 53. The proposed revisions will be presented to the State Legislature for consideration after the MDPB reaches consensus on the revisions.

ELEMENT 7 - ENFORCEMENT

"Enforcement of State damage prevention laws and regulations for all aspects of the damage prevention process, including public education, and the use of civil penalties for violations assessable by the appropriate State authority."

Does the proposed project address this element? (Required) No

Describe any existing state initiatives that support this element: (Required)

As noted above, the Michigan Damage Prevention Board is in the process of drafting revisions to PA53 that will incorporate a process for a State Authority to enforce PA53. The proposed revisions will be presented to the State Legislature for consideration after the MDPB reaches consensus on the revisions.

The MPSC audits and enforces the gas and pipeline companies' compliance with PA53 and Rule 192.614 (Damage prevention program) and 192.616 (Public education) of the Michigan Gas Safety Code. MIOSHA has authority to enforce the excavators' compliance with excavation safety (including the protection of underground facilities.)

Beyond the authority of the MPSC and MIOSHA, there is no state authority for enforcement of the damage prevention process. "Self service" enforcement takes the form of operators and excavators bringing their disputes (i.e., damage and downtime claims) before the courts. The courts are relied upon to rule upon the cases in accordance with PA53. Civil penalties are spelled out in sections 15 and 16 of PA 53 for multiple damages and removal of stakes.

ELEMENT 8 - TECHNOLOGY

"A process for fostering and promoting the use, by all appropriate stakeholders, of improving technologies that may enhance communications, underground pipeline locating capability, and gathering and analyzing information about the accuracy and effectiveness of locating programs."

Does the proposed project address this element? (Required) No

Describe any existing state initiatives that support this element: (Required)

The MISS DIG Board has implemented web based ticket entry, a mapping grid coordinate system used for the entry of staking requests to assure accurate information for the location of the request, and a voluntary positive response system to allow excavators to research the status of their staking request.

The DPAs provide forums for updates on the use of various technologies in improving the results of damage prevention efforts (vacuum excavation, ground penetrating radar, etc.) Various utilities in the state submit DIRT data to CGA.

ELEMENT 9 - DAMAGE PREVENTION PROGRAM REVIEW

"A process for review and analysis of the effectiveness of each program element, including a means for implementing improvements identified by such program reviews."

Does the proposed project address this element? (Required) No

Describe any existing state initiatives that support this element: (Required)

In 2010, \$12,000 of the \$100,000 grant was awarded for the mailing of a public awareness survey in order to determine the effectiveness of the public awareness program. This mailing will take place after September 14, 2010, when the grant funding is released. \$2,000 of the \$12,000 is earmarked for implementing improvements identified by this program.

MISS DIG has implemented a process to collect virtual private DIRT data from all stakeholders involved in the damage prevention process in the state of Michigan. MISS DIG is currently educating stakeholders on this process and finding creative ways to encourage this data submission.

Gas Pipeline Operators are required to file an annual report that includes, among other items, the number of gas leaks repaired that were due to excavation. The MPSC also requests staking and damage statistics from gas pipeline operators. All of this information is reviewed to determine areas of improvement for each pipeline operator.

Legislative/Regulatory Actions

Provide a description of any legislature or regulatory actions (including legislative/regulatory studies) taken by the State within the past five (5) years pertaining to damage prevention program improvement, even if those actions were not completely successful.

As noted previously, the Michigan Damage Prevention Board is currently near completion of drafting revisions to PA53 that will incorporate a process for a State Authority to enforce the One-Call law. The proposed revisions will be presented to the State Legislature for consideration after the MDPB reaches consensus on the revisions.

The MPSC audits and enforces the gas and pipeline companies' compliance with PA53 and Rule 192.614 (Damage prevention program) and 192.616 (Public education) of the Michigan Gas Safety Code. MIOSHA has authority to enforce the excavators' compliance with excavation safety (including the protection of underground facilities.)

Beyond the authority of the MPSC and MIOSHA, there is no state authority for enforcement of the damage prevention process. "Self service" enforcement takes the form of operators and excavators bringing their disputes (i.e., damage and downtime claims) before the courts. The courts are relied upon to rule upon the cases in accordance with PA53. Civil penalties are spelled out in sections 15 and 16 of PA 53 for multiple damages and removal of stakes.

State Damage Prevention Estimated Budget - Calendar Year 2011

DIRECT COSTS

Personnel	
Fringe Benefits	
Travel	
Equipment	
Supplies	\$6,500.00
Contractual	\$54,000.00
Construction	
Other	\$23,100.00
Total Direct	\$83,600.00

INDIRECT COSTS

Indirect Charges

TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS	\$83,600.00
------------------------------	--------------------

State Damage Prevention Application Attachments

2011 signed letter from Governor.pdf





STATE OF MICHIGAN
OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
LANSING

JENNIFER M. GRANHOLM
GOVERNOR

JOHN D. CHERRY, JR.
LT. GOVERNOR


September 2, 2010

Mr. Jeffrey D. Wiese, Associate Administrator
U. S. Department of Transportation
Pipeline & Hazardous Materials Safety Administration
Pipeline Safety, PHP-1
1200 New Jersey Avenue
SE Second Floor E22-321
Washington, DC 20590

Dear Mr. Wiese:

Please be advised that the Michigan Public Service Commission (MPSC) is the designated state authority eligible to receive the 2011 State Damage Prevention Grant from the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration. The MPSC will partner with the Michigan Damage Prevention Board to facilitate the objectives of this grant.

Sincerely yours,



Jennifer M. Granholm
Governor

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

Version 02

*** 1. Type of Submission:**

- Preapplication
- Application
- Changed/Corrected Application

*** 2. Type of Application:**

- New
- Continuation
- Revision

*** If Revision, select appropriate letter(s):**

*** Other (Specify)**

*** 3. Date Received:**

09/07/2010

4. Applicant Identifier:

5a. Federal Entity Identifier:

*** 5b. Federal Award Identifier:**

State Use Only:

6. Date Received by State:

7. State Application Identifier:

8. APPLICANT INFORMATION:

*** a. Legal Name:**

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

*** b. Employer/Taxpayer Identification Number (EIN/TIN):**

38-6000134

*** c. Organizational DUNS:**

148674265

d. Address:

*** Street1:**

P O Box 30221

Street2:

*** City:**

Lansing

County:

*** State:**

MI: Michigan

Province:

*** Country:**

USA: UNITED STATES

*** Zip / Postal Code:**

48909-0000

e. Organizational Unit:

Department Name:

Division Name:

f. Name and contact information of person to be contacted on matters involving this application:

Prefix:

Mr

*** First Name:**

John

Middle Name:

*** Last Name:**

King

Suffix:

Title:

Organizational Affiliation:

*** Telephone Number:**

517-241-6132

Fax Number:

*** Email:**

kingj5@michigan.gov

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

Version 02

9. Type of Applicant 1: Select Applicant Type:

A: State Government

Type of Applicant 2: Select Applicant Type:

Type of Applicant 3: Select Applicant Type:

* Other (specify):

*** 10. Name of Federal Agency:**

Pipeline & Hazardous Material Safety Administration

11. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number:

20.720

CFDA Title:

State Damage Prevention Program Grants

*** 12. Funding Opportunity Number:**

DTPH56-11-SN-0001

* Title:

State Damage Prevention Grants

13. Competition Identification Number:

Title:

14. Areas Affected by Project (Cities, Counties, States, etc.):

*** 15. Descriptive Title of Applicant's Project:**

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION State Damage Prevention

Attach supporting documents as specified in agency instructions.

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

Version 02

16. Congressional Districts Of:

* a. Applicant

* b. Program/Project

Attach an additional list of Program/Project Congressional Districts if needed.

Add Attachment

Delete Attachment

View Attachment

17. Proposed Project:

* a. Start Date:

* b. End Date:

18. Estimated Funding (\$):

* a. Federal	<input type="text" value="83,600.00"/>
* b. Applicant	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>
* c. State	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>
* d. Local	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>
* e. Other	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>
* f. Program Income	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>
* g. TOTAL	<input type="text" value="83,600.00"/>

*** 19. Is Application Subject to Review By State Under Executive Order 12372 Process?**

- a. This application was made available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on .
- b. Program is subject to E.O. 12372 but has not been selected by the State for review.
- c. Program is not covered by E.O. 12372.

*** 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent On Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes", provide explanation.)**

- Yes No

21. *By signing this application, I certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications and (2) that the statements herein are true, complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I also provide the required assurances** and agree to comply with any resulting terms if I accept an award. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims may subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001)**

** I AGREE

** The list of certifications and assurances, or an internet site where you may obtain this list, is contained in the announcement or agency specific instructions.

Authorized Representative:

Prefix: * First Name:

Middle Name:

* Last Name:

Suffix:

* Title:

* Telephone Number: Fax Number:

* Email:

* Signature of Authorized Representative: * Date Signed:

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

Version 02

*** Applicant Federal Debt Delinquency Explanation**

The following field should contain an explanation if the Applicant organization is delinquent on any Federal Debt. Maximum number of characters that can be entered is 4,000. Try and avoid extra spaces and carriage returns to maximize the availability of space.

BUDGET INFORMATION - Non-Construction Programs

OMB Approval No. 4040-0006
Expiration Date 07/30/2010

SECTION A - BUDGET SUMMARY

Grant Program Function or Activity (a)	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number (b)	Estimated Unobligated Funds		New or Revised Budget		
		Federal (c)	Non-Federal (d)	Federal (e)	Non-Federal (f)	Total (g)
1. State Damage Prevention Program		\$	\$	\$ 83,600.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 83,600.00
2.						
3.						
4.						
5. Totals		\$	\$	\$ 83,600.00	\$	\$ 83,600.00

SECTION B - BUDGET CATEGORIES

6. Object Class Categories	GRANT PROGRAM, FUNCTION OR ACTIVITY				Total (5)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
	SDP				
a. Personnel	\$ 0.00	\$	\$	\$	\$
b. Fringe Benefits	0.00				
c. Travel	0.00				
d. Equipment	0.00				
e. Supplies	6,500.00				6,500.00
f. Contractual	54,000.00				54,000.00
g. Construction	0.00				
h. Other	23,100.00				23,100.00
i. Total Direct Charges (sum of 6a-6h)	83,600.00				\$ 83,600.00
j. Indirect Charges	0.00				\$
k. TOTALS (sum of 6i and 6j)	\$ 83,600.00	\$	\$	\$	\$ 83,600.00
7. Program Income	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

Authorized for Local Reproduction

Standard Form 424A (Rev. 7- 97)
Prescribed by OMB (Circular A -102) Page 1A

SECTION C - NON-FEDERAL RESOURCES

(a) Grant Program	(b) Applicant	(c) State	(d) Other Sources	(e)TOTALS
8. <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
9. <input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
10. <input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
11. <input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
12. TOTAL (sum of lines 8-11)	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>

SECTION D - FORECASTED CASH NEEDS

	Total for 1st Year	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter
13. Federal	\$ <input type="text"/>				
14. Non-Federal	\$ <input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
15. TOTAL (sum of lines 13 and 14)	\$ <input type="text"/>				

SECTION E - BUDGET ESTIMATES OF FEDERAL FUNDS NEEDED FOR BALANCE OF THE PROJECT

(a) Grant Program	FUTURE FUNDING PERIODS (YEARS)			
	(b)First	(c) Second	(d) Third	(e) Fourth
16. <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
17. <input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
18. <input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
19. <input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
20. TOTAL (sum of lines 16 - 19)	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>

SECTION F - OTHER BUDGET INFORMATION

21. Direct Charges: <input type="text"/>	22. Indirect Charges: <input type="text"/>
23. Remarks: <input type="text"/>	

CERTIFICATION REGARDING LOBBYING

Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans, and Cooperative Agreements

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

(1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of an agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

(2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," in accordance with its instructions.

(3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly. This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

Statement for Loan Guarantees and Loan Insurance

The undersigned states, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

If any funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this commitment providing for the United States to insure or guarantee a loan, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," in accordance with its instructions. Submission of this statement is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required statement shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

* APPLICANT'S ORGANIZATION MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
* PRINTED NAME AND TITLE OF AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE	
Prefix: Mr.	* First Name: Orjiakor Middle Name:
* Last Name: Isiogu	Suffix:
* Title: Chairman	
* SIGNATURE: Mary Cupp	* DATE: 09/07/2010