

# **Working Group #4**

# **Underground Gas Storage**

Leaders: Ray Harris  
CJ Osman

Facilitator: Steve Nanney  
Kenneth Lee

# Attendance Breakdown

Approximate total attendance	50 persons
Federal Regulators	3 persons
Storage Operators	20 persons
Storage Service Providers	10 persons
Researchers	10 persons
Academics	2 persons
Other	5 persons

# Summary of Key Gaps

- **Gap #1** – (General Knowledge/Standards) **Risk Assessment and Treatment**
- **Gap #2** – (General Knowledge/Technology) **Evaluation of Well Casing Strength**
- **Gap #3** – (Standards /Technology) **Cement as a Barrier**
- **Gap #4** – (Standards /Technology) **Well Construction Barriers**
- **Gap #5** – (General Knowledge/Standards/Technology) **Subsurface Safety Valves**
- **Gap #6** – (Standards /Technology) **Monitoring Equipment**

# Risk Assessment and Treatment

## (Gap #1)

1. Creation and Dissemination of General Knowledge
  - a. Understand well entry impacts
  - b. Leverage pipeline risk assessment methodologies
  
2. New or Revised Consensus Standards (standards, guidelines or recommend practices)
  - a. Develop relative, quantitative, and probabilistic risk assessment guidelines
    - i. Consider consequence areas: location, pressure, volume, etc.
    - ii. Extension of RP 1170/1171
  - b. Identify considerations for field/well-specific assessment
  - c. Build methodology for “roll-up” of individual assessments

# Evaluation of Well Casing Strength (Gap #2)

## 1. Creation and Dissemination of General Knowledge

- a. “Synthesis Study” examining 100+ existing underground storage papers (PRCI, GTI, others)
- b. API Burst Pressure, Barlow, RSTRENG, B31G, MB31G
- c. Develop “R&D” Roadmap
- d. Develop framework for feeding data into risk assessment

## 2. New or Improved Technology

- a. Corrosion Logging: Leverage pipeline ILI technologies, different size capabilities
- b. Validate Casing Properties downhole: Weight/Grade
- c. Patching and Composites
- d. Evaluation of multiple strings

# Cement As a Barrier

## (Gap #3)

1. *New or Revised Consensus Standards (standards, guidelines or recommend practices)*
  - a. Definition of Micro Annulus & Leak Paths\*
  - b. Height of Cement required for isolation
  - c. Remediation
  
2. *New or Improved Technology*
  - a. Alternative materials
  - b. Evaluation technology

# Well Construction Barriers

## (Gap #4)

1. *New or Revised Consensus Standards (standards, guidelines or recommend practices)*
  - a. Guidelines for new wells vs. existing wells
  - b. Tubing and packer life cycle analysis
  - c. Understand well entry impacts
  
2. *New or Improved Technology*
  - a. Tubing coatings
  - b. Alternative packers/installations

# Subsurface Safety Valves

## (Gap #5)

1. Creation and Dissemination of General Knowledge
  - a. Quantify reliability
  - b. Develop evaluation criteria (e.g., allowable leakage/failure)
  - c. Quantify deliverability effect
  - d. Understand well entry impacts
  
2. New or Revised Consensus Standards (standards, guidelines or recommend practices)
  - a. Shallow set vs. Deep set
  
3. New or Improved Technology
  - a. Design Improvements for enhanced reliability in gas environment
  - b. Alternative shutoff technologies

# Monitoring Equipment

## (Gap #6)

1. *New or Revised Consensus Standards (standards, guidelines or recommend practices)*
  - a. Guidelines for annular monitoring: frequency, localized vs. remote, etc.
  - b. Detection and Response guidelines
  
2. *New or Improved Technology*
  - a. Emergent technologies (e.g., fiber optics, downhole leak detection, something the porcupines won't eat)
  - b. Lower cost/higher reliability devices
  - c. Network design
  - d. Intelligent devices
  - e. Surface leak detection: improved volume quantification, spacing requirements