



U.S. Department  
of Transportation

**Pipeline and  
Hazardous Materials Safety  
Administration**

901 Locust Street, Suite 462  
Kansas City, MO 64106-2641

**NOTICE OF PROBABLE VIOLATION  
and  
PROPOSED COMPLIANCE ORDER**

**CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED**

December 28, 2012

Robert Rose, President  
St. Louis Pipeline Company  
P.O. Box 35236  
Sarasota, FL 34242

**CPF 3-2012-5029**

Dear Mr. Rose:

On October 3 through October 5, 2011, a representative of the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) pursuant to Chapter 601 of 49 United States Code inspected the Pipeline Integrity Management Program (IMP), in Hartford, IL.

As a result of the inspection, it appears that you have committed probable violations of the Pipeline Safety Regulations, Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations. The items inspected and the probable violation(s) are:

1. **§195.452 Pipeline integrity management in high consequence areas.**
  - (i) **What preventive and mitigative measures must an operator take to protect the high consequence area?**
  - (2) **Risk analysis criteria. In identifying the need for additional preventive and mitigative measures, an operator must evaluate the likelihood of a pipeline release occurring and how a release could affect the high consequence area. This determination must consider all relevant risk factors, including, but not limited to:**

- (i) Terrain surrounding the pipeline segment, including drainage systems such as small streams and other smaller waterways that could act as a conduit to the high consequence area;**
- (ii) Elevation profile;**
- (iii) Characteristics of the product transported;**
- (iv) Amount of product that could be released;**
- (v) Possibility of a spillage in a farm field following the drain tile into a waterway;**
- (vi) Ditches along side a roadway the pipeline crosses;**

St. Louis Pipeline had not adequately addressed release volumes for an operating pipeline. These are currently calculated by the static pipe volumes from valve to valve plus a 5 minute flow rate to account for the maximum leak detection procedure for pipeline shutdown. Each volume assumes total drain up between the valves. Topography or line profiles were not taken into account, or the time it would take to shut the manual valves.

St. Louis Pipeline had not adequately addressed release volumes that may occur during a static situation. These will not be the same as when the pipeline is actively manned and operating because the SCADA is not manned unless the line is running. Without being manned the isolation valves will not be closed causing additional product beyond isolated valves to drain up. Also, the time to respond and close valves are not used in the volume calculations.

St. Louis Pipeline had not adequately addressed overland transport of spill materials. The final estimated spill volumes are not displayed on topographic maps to determine overland transport directions, ditch diversions, or storm sewer access points as additional HCA areas could be affected.

St. Louis Pipeline had not adequately addressed water transport of spill materials. River transport distances, entry points, storm sewers, river velocities, and personnel response times to clean-up access points have not been determined.

**2. §195.452 Pipeline integrity management in high consequence areas.**

**(j) What is a continual process of evaluation and assessment to maintain a pipeline's integrity?**

**(2) Evaluation. An operator must conduct a periodic evaluation as frequently as needed to assure pipeline integrity. An operator must base the frequency of evaluation on risk factors specific to its pipeline, including the factors specified in paragraph (e) of this section. The evaluation must consider the results of the baseline and periodic integrity assessments, information analysis (paragraph (g) of**

**this section), and decisions about remediation, and preventive and mitigative actions (paragraphs (h) and (i) of this section).**

St. Louis Pipeline Company had not performed an adequate evaluation to assure pipeline integrity when hydrostatic testing is used as the sole assessment method. An evaluation should address corrosion, third party damage and other risk factors.

**3. §195.452 Pipeline integrity management in high consequence areas.**

**(j) What is a continual process of evaluation and assessment to maintain a pipeline's integrity?**

**(3) Assessment intervals. An operator must establish five-year intervals, not to exceed 68 months, for continually assessing the line pipe's integrity. An operator must base the assessment intervals on the risk the line pipe poses to the high consequence area to determine the priority for assessing the pipeline segments. An operator must establish the assessment intervals based on the factors specified in paragraph (e) of this section, the analysis of the results from the last integrity assessment, and the information analysis required by paragraph (g) of this section.**

St. Louis Pipeline Company failed to reassess the pipeline within 68 months of the previous assessment. The pipeline was previously assessed in two different sections, one portion was completed on 11-13-2004 and the remainder was on 5-12-2005 and the next assessment was completed on the entire pipeline on 7-13-2011. The assessment of the two section occurred within 78 months and 72 months respectively. This delay in reassessment resulted from a delay in a directional bore and pipeline replacement project. Once the project was completed the line was tested as a single unit.

**4. §195.452 Pipeline integrity management in high consequence areas.**

**(k) What methods to measure program effectiveness must be used? An operator's program must include methods to measure whether the program is effective in assessing and evaluating the integrity of each pipeline segment and in protecting the high consequence areas.**

St. Louis Pipeline Company had has not developed methods or measured the effectiveness of their IMP program in assessing and evaluating the integrity of each pipeline segment and in protecting the high consequence areas.

Proposed Compliance Order

With respect to items 1 through 4 pursuant to 49 United States Code § 60118, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration proposes to issue a Compliance Order to St. Louis Pipeline. Please refer to the *Proposed Compliance Order*, which is enclosed and made a part of this Notice.

Response to this Notice

Enclosed as part of this Notice is a document entitled *Response Options for Pipeline Operators in Compliance Proceedings*. Please refer to this document and note the response options. Be advised that all material you submit in response to this enforcement action is subject to being made publicly available. If you believe that any portion of your responsive material qualifies for confidential treatment under 5 U.S.C. 552(b), along with the complete original document you must provide a second copy of the document with the portions you believe qualify for confidential treatment redacted and an explanation of why you believe the redacted information qualifies for confidential treatment under 5 U.S.C. 552(b). If you do not respond within 30 days of receipt of this Notice, this constitutes a waiver of your right to contest the allegations in this Notice and authorizes the Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety to find facts as alleged in this Notice without further notice to you and to issue a Final Order.

In your correspondence on this matter, please refer to **CPF 3-2012-5029** and for each document you submit, please provide a copy in electronic format whenever possible.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'D Barrett', with a stylized flourish at the end.

David Barrett  
Director, Central Region  
Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

Enclosures: *Proposed Compliance Order*  
*Response Options for Pipeline Operators in Compliance Proceedings*

## PROPOSED COMPLIANCE ORDER

Pursuant to 49 United States Code § 60118, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) proposes to issue to St. Louis Pipeline a Compliance Order incorporating the following remedial requirements to ensure the compliance of St. Louis Pipeline with the pipeline safety regulations:

1. In regard to Item Number 1 of the Notice pertaining to identifying the pipeline segments that could affect HCA's. St. Louis Pipeline shall calculate release volumes for each operational scenario for all segments. Use the calculated worst case for each segment apply the over land spread and water transport or combination to identify could affect HCA's. Use the information to determine if response procedures need to be modified to mitigate consequence and document any newly identified could affect HCA's.
2. In regard to Item Number 2 of the Notice pertaining to adequate evaluations for assuring pipeline integrity. St. Louis Pipeline shall perform evaluations to address threats on their pipeline and propose additional preventative and mitigative actions.
3. In regard to Item Number 3 of the Notice pertaining to exceeding the maximum assessment interval. St. Louis Pipeline shall develop procedures to assure that assessment intervals shall not be exceeded. Also, procedures need to be developed for notifying PHMSA prior to exceeding the maximum assessment interval.
4. In regard to Item Number 4 of the Notice pertaining to measuring the program's effectiveness. St. Louis Pipeline shall develop procedures to measure the program's effectiveness. Once developed, St. Louis Pipeline shall apply the metrics to determine if additional actions should be taken to ensure the integrity of the applicable pipeline segments.
5. St. Louis Pipeline shall submit a plan with a schedule to perform the compliance requirements above within 30 days of receipt of the Final Order. This plan will require approval of the Regional Director.
6. It is requested that St. Louis Pipeline maintain documentation of the safety improvement costs associated with fulfilling this Compliance Order and submit the total to David Barrett, Director, Central Region, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration. It is requested that these costs be reported in two categories: 1) total cost associated with preparation/revision of plans, procedures, studies and analyses, and 2) total cost associated with replacements, additions and other changes to pipeline infrastructure.

## **Response Options for Pipeline Operators in Compliance Proceedings**

The requirements of 49 C.F.R. Part 190, Subpart B (§§ 190.201–190.237) govern response to Notices issued by a Regional Director, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA).

Be advised that all material submitted by a respondent in response to an enforcement action is subject to being made publicly available. If you believe that any portion of your responsive material qualifies for confidential treatment under 5 U.S.C. 552(b), along with the complete original document you must provide a second copy of the document with the portions you believe qualify for confidential treatment redacted and an explanation of why you believe the redacted information qualifies for confidential treatment under 5 U.S.C. 552(b).

### **I. Procedures for Responding to a NOTICE OF PROBABLE VIOLATION:**

Within 30 days of receipt of a Notice of Probable Violation, the respondent shall respond to the Regional Director who issued the Notice in the following way:

#### **a. When the Notice contains a proposed CIVIL PENALTY\* --**

1. If you are not contesting any violations alleged in the Notice, pay the proposed civil penalty and advise the Regional Director of the payment. This authorizes PHMSA to issue an order making findings of violation and upon confirmation that the payment has been received PHMSA will close the case with prejudice to the respondent. Payment terms are outlined below;
2. If you are not contesting any violations alleged in the Notice but wish to submit written explanations, information, or other materials you believe warrant mitigation of the civil penalty, you may submit such materials. This authorizes PHMSA to make findings and to issue a Final Order assessing a penalty amount up to the amount proposed in the Notice. Refer to 49 C.F.R. § 190.225 for assessment considerations, which include the respondent's ability to pay and the effect on the respondent's ability to stay in business, upon which civil penalties are based;
3. If you are contesting one or more of the items in the Notice but are not requesting an oral hearing, submit a written response to the allegations and/or seek elimination or mitigation of the proposed civil penalty; or
4. Request a hearing as described below to contest the allegations and/or proposed assessment of a civil penalty.

b. When the Notice contains a proposed **COMPLIANCE ORDER**\* --

1. If you are not contesting the compliance order, notify the Regional Director that you intend to take the steps in the proposed compliance order;
2. If you are not contesting the compliance order but wish to submit written explanations, information, or other materials you believe warrant modification of the proposed compliance order in whole or in part, or you seek clarification of the terms of the proposed compliance order, you may submit such materials. This authorizes PHMSA to make findings and issue a compliance order;
3. If you are contesting the proposed compliance order but are not requesting an oral hearing, submit written explanations, information, or other materials in answer to the allegations in the Notice and stating your reasons for objecting to the proposed compliance order items in whole or in part; or
4. Request a hearing as described below to contest the allegations and/or proposed compliance order items.

c. When the Notice contains a **WARNING ITEM** --

No written response is required. The respondent is warned that if it does not take appropriate action to correct these items, enforcement action will be taken if a subsequent inspection reveals a violation.

\* Failure of the respondent to respond to the Notice within 30 days of receipt constitutes a waiver of the right to contest the allegations in the Notice and authorizes the Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety to find facts as alleged in the Notice without further notice to the respondent and to issue a Final Order.

II. **Procedures for Responding to a NOTICE OF AMENDMENT\*--**

Within 30 days of receipt of a Notice of Amendment, the respondent shall respond to the Regional Director who issued the Notice in the following way:

- a. If you are not contesting the Notice, notify the Regional Director of your plans to address the inadequacies identified in the Notice;
- b. If you are not contesting the Notice but wish to submit written explanations, information, or other materials you believe warrant modification of the Notice of Amendment in whole or in part, or you seek clarification of the terms of the

Notice of Amendment, you may submit such materials. This authorizes PHMSA to make findings and issue an Order Directing Amendment;

- c. If you are contesting the Notice of Amendment but are not requesting an oral hearing, submit written explanations, information, or other materials in answer to the allegations in the Notice and stating your reasons for objecting to the Notice of Amendment items in whole or in part; or
- d. Request a hearing as described below to contest the allegations in the Notice.

\* Failure of the respondent to respond to the Notice within 30 days of receipt constitutes a waiver of the right to contest the allegations in the Notice and authorizes the Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety to find facts as alleged in the Notice without further notice to the respondent and to issue a Final Order.

### III. **Procedure for Requesting a Hearing**

A request for a hearing must be in writing and accompanied by a statement of the issues that the respondent intends to raise at the hearing. The issues may relate to the allegations, new information, or to the proposed compliance order or proposed civil penalty amount. Refer to 49 C.F.R. § 190.225 for assessment considerations upon which civil penalties are based. A respondent's failure to specify an issue may result in waiver of the right to raise that issue at the hearing. The respondent's request must also indicate whether or not respondent will be represented by counsel at the hearing. Failure to request a hearing in writing within 30 days of receipt of a Notice waives the right to a hearing. In addition, if the amount of the proposed civil penalty or the proposed corrective action is less than \$10,000, the hearing will be held by telephone, unless the respondent submits a written request for an in-person hearing. Complete hearing procedures can be found at 49 C.F.R. § 190.211.

### IV. **Extensions of Time**

An extension of time to prepare an appropriate response to a Notice may be granted, at the agency's discretion, following submittal of a written request to the Regional Director. The request must indicate the amount of time needed and the reasons for the extension. The request must be submitted within 30 days of receipt of the Notice.

### V. **Freedom of Information Act**

Any material provided to PHMSA by the respondent, and materials prepared by PHMSA including the Notice and any order issued in this case, may be considered public information and subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). If you believe the information you are providing is security sensitive, privileged, confidential or may cause your company competitive disadvantages, please clearly identify the material and provide justification why the documents, or portions of a document, should not be released under FOIA. If we receive a request for your material, we will notify you if PHMSA, after reviewing the materials and your provided justification, determines that withholding the materials does not meet any exemption

provided under the FOIA. You may appeal the agency's decision to release material under the FOIA at that time. Your appeal will stay the release of those materials until a final decision is made.

VI. **Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act Information**

The Small Business and Agricultural Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and 10 Regional Fairness Boards were established to receive comments from small businesses about federal agency enforcement actions. The Ombudsman will annually evaluate the enforcement activities and rate each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on the enforcement actions of the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, call 1-888-REG-FAIR (1-888-734-3247) or go to [http://www.sba.gov/ombudsman/dsp\\_faq.html](http://www.sba.gov/ombudsman/dsp_faq.html).

VII. **Payment Instructions**

***Civil Penalty Payments of Less Than \$10,000***

Payment of a civil penalty of less than \$10,000 proposed or assessed, under Subpart B of Part 190 of the Pipeline Safety Regulations can be made by certified check, money order or wire transfer. Payment by certified check or money order (containing the CPF Number for this case) should be made payable to the "Department of Transportation" and should be sent to:

Federal Aviation Administration  
Mike Monroney Aeronautical Center  
Financial Operations Division (AMZ-341) P.O. Box 269039  
Oklahoma City, OK 73125-4915

Wire transfer payments of less than \$10,000 may be made through the Federal Reserve Communications System (Fedwire) to the account of the U.S. Treasury. Detailed instructions are provided below. Questions concerning wire transfer should be directed to the Financial Operations Division at (405) 954-8893, or at the above address.

***Civil Penalty Payments of \$10,000 or more***

Payment of a civil penalty of \$10,000 or more proposed or assessed under Subpart B of Part 190 of the Pipeline Safety Regulations must be made wire transfer (49 C.F.R. § 89.21 (b)(3)), through the Federal Reserve Communications System (Fedwire) to the account of the U.S. Treasury. Detailed instructions are provided below. Questions concerning wire transfers should be directed to the Financial Operations Division at (405) 954-8893, or at the above address.

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR ELECTRONIC FUND TRANSFERS

(1) <u>RECEIVER ABA NO.</u> 021030004	(2) <u>TYPE/SUB-TYPE</u> (Provided by sending bank)
(3) <u>SENDING BANK ABA NO.</u> (Provided by sending bank)	(4) <u>SENDING BANK REF NO.</u> (Provided by sending bank)
(5) <u>AMOUNT</u>	(6) <u>SENDING BANK NAME</u> (Provided by sending bank)
(7) <u>RECEIVER NAME</u> TREAS NYC	(8) <u>PRODUCT CODE</u> (Normally CTR, or as provided by sending bank)
(9) <u>BENEFICIAL (BNF) = AGENCY LOCATION CODE</u> BNF = /ALC-69-14-0001	(10) <u>REASONS FOR PAYMENT</u> Example: PHMSA - CPF # / Ticket Number/Pipeline Assessment number

**INSTRUCTIONS:** You, as sender of the wire transfer, must provide the sending bank with the information for blocks (1), (5), (7), (9), and (10). The information provided in Blocks (1), (7), and (9) are constant and remain the same for all wire transfers to the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, Department of Transportation.

**Block #1** - RECEIVER ABA NO. - "021030004". Ensure the sending bank enters this 9-digit identification number; it represents the routing symbol for the U.S. Treasury at the Federal Reserve Bank in New York.

**Block #5** - AMOUNT - You as the sender provide the amount of the transfer. Please be sure the transfer amount is punctuated with commas and a decimal point. **EXAMPLE: \$10,000.00**

**Block #7** - RECEIVER NAME - "TREAS NYC". Ensure the sending bank enters this abbreviation. It must be used for all wire transfers to the Treasury Department.

**Block #9** - BENEFICIAL - AGENCY LOCATION CODE - "**BNF=/ALC-69-14-0001**". Ensure the sending bank enters this information. This is the Agency Location Code for the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, Department of Transportation.

**Block #10** - REASON FOR PAYMENT - "**AC-payment for PHMSA Case # /** To ensure your wire transfer is credited properly, enter the case number/ticket number or Pipeline Assessment number, and country."

**NOTE:** A wire transfer must comply with the format and instructions or the Department cannot accept the wire transfer. You as the sender can assist this process by notifying the Financial Operations Division (405) 954-8893 at the time you send the wire transfer.

February 2009